HOMOTOPY LINEAR CONNECTIONS
ALONG HOMOTOPY LIE-RINEHART ALGEBRAS

LUCA VITAGLIANO

Abstract. I propose a definition of left/right connection along a strong homotopy Lie-Rinehart algebra. This allows me to generalize simultaneously representations up to homotopy of Lie algebroids and actions of $L_\infty$ algebras on graded manifolds. I also discuss the Schouten-Nijenhuis calculus associated to strong homotopy Lie-Rinehart connections.

1. Introduction

Let $\mathfrak{g}$ be a vector space over a field $K$. Lie brackets in $\mathfrak{g}$ correspond bijectively to DG coalgebra structures on the exterior coalgebra $\Lambda^*_K \mathfrak{g}$ (and to Gerstenhaber algebra structures on the exterior algebra $\Lambda^* \mathfrak{g}$). Moreover, the homology of $\Lambda^*_K \mathfrak{g}$ is that of $\mathfrak{g}$. Now, let $L$ be a module over an associative, commutative, unital algebra $A$. In [11], Huebschmann remarks that there is no way to endow $\Lambda^*_A L$ with a DG coalgebra structure corresponding to a given Lie-Rinehart (LR) structure on $(A, L)$ (see Section 2.1 for a reminder on the notion of LR algebra). Instead, LR structures on $(A, L)$ correspond bijectively to Gerstenhaber algebra structures on the exterior algebra $\Lambda^*_A L$. What is then the relation between Gerstenhaber structures and the cohomology of LR algebras? Huebschmann finds an answer in terms of Batalin-Vilkovisky (BV) algebras (I refer to [11] for the notion of Gerstenhaber, BV algebras and their relation with LR algebras). In particular, he shows that, given an LR-algebra $(A, L)$,

1. BV algebra structures on $\Lambda^*_A L$ correspond bijectively to right $(A, L)$-module structures in $A$,

and

2. if $L$ is projective as an $A$-module, then a BV algebra structure in $\Lambda^*_A L$ computes the homologies of $(A, L)$ with coefficients in the right module $A$.

In [14] Huebschmann himself explores higher homotopy generalizations of LR, Gerstenhaber, and BV algebras with the aim of «unifying» these structures by means of the relationship between Lie-Rinehart, Gerstenhaber, and Batalin-Vilkovisky algebras first observed in [11], and the hope that this will have been «a first step towards taming the bracket zoo that arose recently in topological field theory». The higher homotopies which are exploited in [14] «are of a special kind, though, where only the first of an (in general) infinite family is non-zero».

In the literature, there already exist higher homotopy generalizations of LR [16], Gerstenhaber [3], and BV [22] algebras (see also [28], and [8] for an operadic approach to homotopy Gerstenhaber and homotopy BV algebras respectively). One of the aims of this paper is to generalize Huebschmann’s results [11] [14] to a setting where all higher homotopies (in the infinite family) are possibly non-zero. To achieve this goal, I generalize first the notions of (left/right) LR connection, and (left/right) LR module [11]. As a byproduct, I obtain a rather wide generalization of various constructions scattered in the literature. Namely, the $LR_\infty$ modules defined in this paper generalize

1. representations up to homotopy of Lie algebroids [11]. Namely, $LR_\infty$ modules may be understood as representations of $LR_\infty$ algebras, which are homotopy versions of LR algebras, which, in their turn, are purely algebraic generalizations of Lie algebroids.
(2) actions of $L_\infty$ algebras on graded manifolds [27]. Namely, $LR_\infty$ algebras generalize $L_\infty$ algebras and actions of the latter on graded manifolds are special instances of actions of $LR_\infty$ algebras on graded algebra extensions (see Section 5.3).

(3) actions of Lie algebroids on fibered manifolds and derivative representations of Lie algebroids [19]. Namely, similarly as above, actions of $LR_\infty$ algebras on graded algebra extensions are purely algebraic and homotopy versions of actions of Lie algebroids on fibered manifolds.

Finally, I obtain a generalization, to the homotopy setting, of the standard Schouten-Nijenhuis calculus on multivectors and, more generally, exterior algebras of Lie algebroids.

On another hand (left/right) modules over LR algebras are key concepts in the theory of $D$-modules [29, 25]. Recall that a $D$-module is a (left/right) module over the algebra $D$ of linear differential operators on a manifold. Since $D$ is the universal enveloping algebra of the LR algebra of vector fields, a left $D$-module is actually the same as a module with a flat connection, i.e., a module with a left representation of the LR algebra of vector fields. This explains the relationship with LR algebras. $D$-modules provide a natural language for a geometric theory of linear partial differential equations (PDE), and define a rich homological algebra [33]. The datum of a linear PDE can be encoded by a $D$-module, whose homological algebra contains relevant information about the PDE (symmetries, conservation laws, etc.). More generally, the datum of a non-linear PDE can be encoded by a diffiety (or a $D$-scheme) i.e., a countable-dimensional manifold with a finite-dimensional, involutive distribution. Vector fields in the distribution form again an LR algebra, and, similarly as before, modules over this LR algebra contain relevant information about the non-linear PDE. The idea of building a theory of $D$-modules (and $D$-schemes) up to homotopy is intriguing. This paper may represent a first (short) step in this direction.

1.1. Conventions and notations. I will adopt the following notations and conventions throughout the paper. Let $\ell, m$ be positive integers. I denote by $S_{\ell,m}$ the set of $(\ell,m)$-unshuffles, i.e., permutations $\sigma$ of $\{1,\ldots,\ell+m\}$ such that

$$\sigma(1) < \cdots < \sigma(\ell), \quad \text{and} \quad \sigma(\ell+1) < \cdots < \sigma(\ell+m).$$

If $S$ is a set, I denote

$$S^\times_k := S \times \cdots \times S,$$

$k$ times

Every vector space will be over a field $K$ of zero characteristic. The degree of a homogeneous element $v$ in a graded vector space will be denoted by $\bar{v}$. However, when it appears in the exponent of a sign $(-)$, I will always omit the overbar, and write, for instance, $(-)^v$ instead of $(-)^{\bar{v}}$.

Let $V$ be a graded vector space,

$$v = (v_1, \ldots, v_n) \in V^\times_n,$$

and $\sigma$ a permutation of $\{1, \ldots, n\}$. I denote by $\alpha(\sigma, v)$ the sign implicitly defined by

$$v_{\sigma(1)} \odot \cdots \odot v_{\sigma(n)} = \alpha(\sigma, v) v_1 \odot \cdots \odot v_n$$

where $\odot$ is the graded symmetric product in the symmetric algebra of $V$.

In this paper, I will deal with algebraic structures generalizing $L_\infty$ algebras and their modules (see, for instance, [24, 23]). $L_\infty$ algebras, also named strong homotopy (SH) Lie algebras, are homotopy versions of Lie algebras, i.e., Lie algebras up to homotopy. More precisely, an $L_\infty$ algebra is a graded vector space $V$ equipped with a family of $k$-ary, graded skew-symmetric, multilinear, degree $2-k$ operations

$$\lambda_k : V^\times_k \rightarrow V, \quad k \in \mathbb{N},$$
such that
\[
\sum_{i+j=k} (-)^{ij} \sum_{\sigma \in S_{i,j}} (-)^{\sigma} \alpha(\sigma, v) \lambda_{j+1}(\lambda_i(v_{\sigma(1)}, \ldots, v_{\sigma(i)}), v_{\sigma(i+1)}, \ldots, v_{\sigma(i+j)}) = 0,
\]
for all \(v = (v_1, \ldots, v_k) \in V^{\times k}, \ k \in \mathbb{N}\). This is the classical notion of \(L_\infty\) algebra \([24]\). However, I will refer instead to an equivalent notion where degrees are shifted and the structure maps are graded symmetric, instead of graded skew-symmetric. Following \([32]\), I call such an equivalent notion an \(L_\infty[1]\) algebra (see also \([39]\)). Using \(L_\infty[1]\) algebras simplifies the signs in all formulas of this paper.

**Definition 1.** An \(L_\infty[1]\) algebra is a graded vector space \(V\) equipped with a family of \(k\)-ary, graded symmetric, multilinear, degree 1 maps
\[
\lambda_k : V^{\times k} \rightarrow V, \quad k \in \mathbb{N},
\]
such that
\[
\sum_{i+j=k} \sum_{\sigma \in S_{i,j}} \alpha(\sigma, v) \lambda_{j+1}(\lambda_i(v_{\sigma(1)}, \ldots, v_{\sigma(i)}), v_{\sigma(i+1)}, \ldots, v_{\sigma(i+j)}) = 0,
\]
for all \(v = (v_1, \ldots, v_k) \in V^{\times k}, \ k \in \mathbb{N}\) (in particular, \((V, \lambda_1)\) is a cochain complex).

\(L_\infty\) algebra structures on \(V\) correspond bijectively to \(L_\infty[1]\) algebra structures on the suspension \(V[1] := \bigoplus_j V[j]^j\), where \(V[j]^j := V^{j+1}\). The bijection is obtained by applying the décalage isomorphism (between exterior powers of \(V\) and symmetric powers of \(V\)):
\[
\Lambda^k V \rightarrow s^k V[1], \quad v_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge v_k \mapsto (\cdot )^{(k-1)} v_1 + (k-2)v_2 + \cdots + v_{k-1} v_1 \cdots v_k,
\]
where \(\bar{v}_i\) is the degree of \(v_i\) in \(V\).

Let \(V\) be an \(L_\infty[1]\) algebra. Definition below is the \(L_\infty[1]\) version of a the definition of \(L_\infty\)-module \([23]\).

**Definition 2.** An \(L_\infty[1]\) module over \(V\) is a graded vector space \(W\) equipped with a family of \(k\)-ary, multilinear, degree 1 maps
\[
\mu_k : V^{\times (k-1)} \times W \rightarrow W, \quad k \in \mathbb{N},
\]
which are graded symmetric in the first \(k-1\) arguments, and such that
\[
\sum_{i+j=k-1} \sum_{\sigma \in S_{i,j}} \alpha(\sigma, v) \mu_{j+1}(\lambda_i(v_{\sigma(1)}, \ldots, v_{\sigma(i)}), v_{\sigma(i+1)}, \ldots, v_{\sigma(i+j)}|w)
\]
\[
+ \sum_{i+j=k-1} \sum_{\sigma \in S_{i,j}} (-)^{\chi} \alpha(\sigma, v) \mu_{i+1}(v_{\sigma(1)}, \ldots, v_{\sigma(i)}|\mu_{j+1}(v_{\sigma(i+1)}, \ldots, v_{\sigma(i+j)}|w)) = 0 \quad (1)
\]
for all \(v = (v_1, \ldots, v_k) \in V^{\times k}, \ w \in W, \ k \in \mathbb{N}\) (in particular, \((W, \mu_1)\) is a cochain complex), where \(\chi = \bar{v}_{\sigma(1)} + \cdots + \bar{v}_{\sigma(i)}\).

In a similar way one can write a definition of right \(L_\infty[1]\) module, generalizing the standard notion of right Lie algebra module. Since, apparently, such a definition does not appear in literature, I record it here.

**Definition 3.** A right \(L_\infty[1]\) module over \(V\) is a graded vector space \(Z\) equipped with a family of \(k\)-ary, multilinear, degree 1 maps
\[
\rho_k : V^{\times (k-1)} \times Z \rightarrow Z, \quad k \in \mathbb{N},
\]
which are graded symmetric in the first $k - 1$ arguments, and such that
\[
\sum_{i+j=k-1} \sum_{\sigma \in S_{i,j}} \alpha(\sigma, v) \rho_j(v_{\sigma(i+1)}, \ldots, v_{\sigma(i)}, v_{\sigma(i+1)}, \ldots, v_{\sigma(i+j)} | z) ~
\]
\[
- \sum_{i+j=k-1} \sum_{\sigma \in S_{i,j}} (-)^{i} \alpha(\sigma, v) \rho_j(v_{\sigma(i)}, \ldots, v_{\sigma(i)} | z) (v_{\sigma(i+1)}, \ldots, v_{\sigma(i+j)} | z) = 0
\]
for all $v = (v_1, \ldots, v_k) \in V^k$, $z \in Z$, $k \in \mathbb{N}$ (in particular, $(Z, \rho_1)$ is a cochain complex).

Notice the minus sign in front of the second summand of the left hand side of (2), in contrast with Formula (1).

2. **Left and Right Representations of Lie-Rinehart Algebras**

2.1. **Lie-Rinehart Algebras.** Lie-Rinehart algebras appear in various areas of Mathematics. In differential geometry, they appear as spaces of sections of Lie algebroids. The prototype of a Lie algebroid is the tangent bundle. Accordingly, vector fields on a manifold form a Lie-Rinehart algebra. In its turn, the theory of Lie algebroids proved to encode salient features of foliation theory, group action theory, Poisson geometry, etc. In this section, I report those definitions from the theory of Lie-Rinehart algebras that are relevant for the purposes of the paper. For more details about Lie-Rinehart algebras, see [13] and references therein.

A Lie-Rinehart (LR) algebra is a pair $(A, L)$ where $A$ is an associative, commutative, unital algebra over a field $K$ of zero characteristic, and $L$ is a Lie algebra. Moreover, $L$ is an $A$-module and $A$ is a $L$-module (with structure map $\alpha : L \to \text{End}_K A$, called the anchor). All these structures fulfills the following compatibility conditions. For $a, b \in A$ and $\xi, \zeta \in L$
\[
\alpha(\xi)(ab) = \alpha(\xi)(a)b + a\alpha(\xi)(b)
\]
\[
(a\alpha(\xi))(b) = a\alpha(\xi)(b)
\]
\[
[\xi, a\zeta] = a[\xi, \zeta] + a[\xi, \zeta].
\]
The first identity tells us that $L$ acts on $A$ by derivations. The second identity tells us that the anchor $\alpha : L \to \text{Der}A$ is $A$-linear. The third identity tells us that for all $\xi \in L$, the pair $([\xi, \cdot], \alpha(\xi))$ is a derivation of $L$. Recall that a derivation of an $A$-module $P$ is a pair $\mathcal{X} = (X, \sigma_\mathcal{X})$ where $X : P \to P$ is a $K$-linear operator and $\sigma_\mathcal{X}$ is a derivation of $A$, called the symbol of $\mathcal{X}$, such that, for $a \in A$, and $p \in P$
\[
X(ap) = \sigma_\mathcal{X}(a)p + aX(p).
\]
Denote by $\text{Der}P$ the set of derivations of $P$. Notice, for future use, that there are two different $A$-module structures on $\text{Der}P$. The first one has structure map $(a, \mathcal{X}) \mapsto a^L\mathcal{X} := (aX, a\sigma_\mathcal{X})$. The second one has structure map $(a, \mathcal{X}) \mapsto a^R\mathcal{X} := (X \circ a, a\sigma_\mathcal{X})$. Here, $a$ is interpreted as the multiplication operator $A \to A, b \mapsto ab$. Write $\text{Der}^L P$ for $\text{Der}P$ with the first $A$-module structure, and $\text{Der}^R P$ for $\text{Der}P$ with the second $A$-module structure.

The prototype of an LR algebra is the pair $(A, \text{Der}A)$, with Lie bracket the standard commutator of derivations, and anchor the identity. It is easy to see that both $(A, \text{Der}^L P)$ and $(A, \text{Der}^R P)$ are also LR algebras with Lie bracket the standard commutator, and anchor $\mathcal{X} \mapsto \sigma_\mathcal{X}$. In differential geometry LR algebras appear as pairs $(A, L)$ where $A$ is the algebra of smooth real functions on a smooth manifold $M$, and $L$ is the module of sections of a Lie algebroid over $M$.

2.2. **Connections along Lie-Rinehart Algebras.** Connections along LR algebras are the algebraic counterparts of connections along Lie algebroids [17, 19]. Let $(A, L)$ be a LR algebra and $P, Q$ be $A$-modules. A left $(A, L)$-connection in $P$ (or, a left connection along $(A, L)$) is a map $\nabla : L \to \text{End}_K P$,
written \( \xi \mapsto \nabla \xi \), such that, for \( a \in A \), \( \xi \in L \), and \( p \in P \),
\[
\nabla \xi (ap) = a(\xi )p + a \nabla \xi p, \\
\nabla_a \xi p = a \nabla \xi p.
\]
The first identity tells us that the pair \((\nabla \xi, \alpha(\xi))\) is a derivation. The second identity tells us that the map \( L \to \text{Der}^L(P, \xi \mapsto (\nabla \xi, \alpha(\xi))) \) is \( A \)-linear. A left \((A, L)\)-connection \( \nabla \) is flat if, for all \( \xi, \zeta, \xi, L \),
\[
[\nabla \xi, \nabla \zeta] - \nabla_{[\xi, \zeta]} = 0,
\]
which tells us that \( \nabla \) is a homomorphism of Lie algebras. Left connections along Lie-Rinehart algebras generalize the standard differential geometry notion of linear connections in vector bundles.

Let \( P \) be an \( A \)-module and \( \nabla \) an \((A, L)\)-connection in it. There is an associated sequence
\[
0 \to P \xrightarrow{D^\nabla} \text{Hom}_A(L, P) \xrightarrow{D^\nabla} \cdots \to \text{Alt}^k_A(L, P) \xrightarrow{D^\nabla} \text{Alt}^{k+1}_A(L, P) \xrightarrow{D^\nabla} \cdots
\]
defined via the Chevalley-Eilenberg formula
\[
(\Lambda^\nabla^0)(\xi_1, \ldots, \xi_{k+1}) := \sum_i (-)^{i+1} \nabla \xi_i \Omega(\xi_1, \ldots, \hat{\xi}_i, \ldots, \xi_{k+1})
\]
\[
+ \sum_{i<j} (-)^{i+j} \Omega([\xi_i, \xi_j], \xi_1, \ldots, \hat{\xi}_i, \ldots, \hat{\xi}_j, \ldots, \xi_{k+1}),
\]
where a hat \( \hat{\cdot} \) denotes omission, \( \Omega \in \text{Alt}^2_A(L, P) \) is an \( A \)-multilinear, alternating map \( L^\times k \to P \), and \( \xi_1, \ldots, \xi_{k+1} \in L \). If \( \nabla \) is flat, \( D^\nabla \) is a differential, i.e. \( D^\nabla \circ D^\nabla = 0 \), and sequence (3) is a (cochain) complex. Chevalley-Eilenberg complexes of Lie algebras, de Rham complexes of smooth manifolds, and, more generally, Chevalley-Eilenberg complexes of Lie algebroids are of the kind (3).

A right \((A, L)\)-connection in \( Q \) (or, a right connection along \((A, L)\)) is a map \( \Delta : L \to \text{End}_R Q \), written \( \xi \mapsto \Delta(L) \), such that, for \( a \in A \), \( \xi \in L \), and \( q \in Q \),
\[
\Delta(L)(aq) = -a(\xi)q + a \Delta(L)q, \tag{4}
\]
\[
\Delta(A) = \Delta(aq). \tag{5}
\]

Notice that the operators \( \Delta(\xi) \) are usually written as acting from the right. I prefer to keep a different notation which is simpler to handle in the graded case. Identity (4) tells us that the pair \((\Delta(\xi), -a(\xi))\) is a derivation. Identity (5) tells us that the map \( L \to \text{Der}^P Q, \xi \mapsto (\Delta(\xi), -a(\xi)) \) is \( A \)-linear. A right \((A, L)\)-connection \( \Delta \) is flat if, for all \( \xi, \zeta, \xi, L \),
\[
[\Delta(\xi), \Delta(\zeta)] + [\Delta(\xi, \zeta)] = 0,
\]
which tells us that \( \Delta \) is an anti-homomorphism of Lie algebras.

Let \( Q \) be an \( A \)-module and \( \Delta \) an \((A, L)\)-connection in it. There is an associated sequence
\[
0 \leftarrow Q \xrightarrow{D^\Lambda} \Lambda^1_A L \otimes_A Q \leftarrow \cdots \xrightarrow{D^\Lambda} \Lambda^k_A L \otimes_A Q \xrightarrow{D^\Lambda} \Lambda^{k+1}_A L \otimes_A Q \leftarrow \cdots
\]
defined via the Rinehart formula [20]
\[
D^\Lambda(\xi_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge \xi_{k+1} \otimes q) := \sum_{i<j} (-)^{i+j} [\xi_i, \xi_j] \wedge \xi_1 \wedge \cdots \hat{\xi}_i \wedge \cdots \hat{\xi}_j \wedge \cdots \wedge \xi_{k+1} \otimes q
\]
\[
+ \sum_i (-)^{i+1} \xi_1 \wedge \cdots \hat{\xi}_i \wedge \cdots \hat{\xi}_j \wedge \cdots \wedge \xi_{k+1} \otimes \Delta(\xi_i)q.
\]
\( \xi_1, \ldots, \xi_{k+1} \in L, q \in Q \). If \( \Delta \) is flat, \( D^\Lambda \) is a differential, i.e. \( D^\Lambda \circ D^\Lambda = 0 \), and sequence (6) is a (chain) complex. The Diff-Spencer complex (dual to the first Spencer sequence) of a linear differential operator [21] is of the kind (6). Another, closely related, motivation for considering both left and right connections along LR algebras is the following. Let \( D \) be the algebra of linear differential operators
over $A$. In general, $D$ is a non-commutative agebra. There are obvious inclusions $A, \text{Der}A \subset D$, and two different $A$-module structures in $D$ with structure maps $(a, \square) \mapsto a \circ \square$, and $(a, \square) \mapsto \square \circ a$ respectively. Here, $a$ is interpreted as a differential operator of order 0. Write $D^L$ for $D$ with the first $A$-module structure, and $D^R$ for $D$ with the second $A$-module structure. Now let $\xi$ be a derivation of $A$. Define an operator $\nabla_\xi : D^L \to D^L$ (resp., $\Delta_\xi : D^R \to D^R$), by putting $\nabla_\xi \square := \xi \circ \square$ (resp., $\Delta_\xi \square := \square \circ \xi$). Both $\nabla_\xi$ and $\Delta_\xi$ are derivations of $A$-modules (beware, that neither $\nabla_\xi$, nor $\Delta_\xi$ is a derivation of the $A$-module $D$). Even more, $\nabla$ is a flat left $(A, \text{Der}A)$-connection in $D^L$. Similarly, $\Delta$ is a flat right $(A, \text{Der}A)$-connection in $D^R$. More generally, there are canonical left and right connections in the universal enveloping algebra of any LR algebra.

Notice that, under suitable regularity conditions on $L$, namely, $L$ being a projective and finitely generated $A$-module of constant rank $q$, right $(A, L)$-connections in an $A$-module $Q$ are actually equivalent to left $(A, L)$-connections in $\Lambda^q A \otimes L$. Moreover, the equivalence identifies the Reinehart sequence (6) of $Q$ and the Chevalley-Eilenberg sequence (3) of $\Lambda^q A \otimes A Q$ (12). This is the case, for instance, when $L$ is the module of sections of a (finite dimensional) Lie algebroid (over a connected manifold). However, in the general case, right and left $(A, L)$-connections are distinct notions.

All the notions in this sections, in particular that of LR algebra, have a graded analogue, which can be easily guessed exploiting the Koszul sign rule.

3. Derivations and Multiderivations of Graded Modules

3.1. Derivations. LR algebras have analogues up to homotopy, which are known as strong homotopy (SH) LR algebras (16) (14) (39). SH LR algebras were introduced by Kjeseth in (16), under the name homotopy Lie-Rinehart pairs, and appear naturally in different geometric contexts, e.g., BRST-BV formalism (17), foliation theory (14) (33) (37), complex geometry (11). action of $L_\infty$ algebras on graded manifolds (see Section 5.3 of this paper). Kjeseth’s definition of a homotopy Lie-Rinehart pair makes use of the coalgebra concepts of subordinate derivation sources, and resting coderivations. In a similar spirit, Huebschmann proposed an equivalent definition making use of coderivations, and twisting cochains (15). In this paper, I propose a third, equivalent (but, perhaps, somewhat more transparent) definition in terms of multiderivations of graded modules. I summarize the relevant facts about multiderivations in this Section. Propositions 8 9 10 11 will play a key role in the sequel.

Let $A$ be an associative, graded commutative, unital algebra, and let $P, Q$ be $A$-modules. If there is risk of confusion, I will use the name $A$-module derivation for a derivation of an $A$-module to make it clear the distinction with derivations of algebras. Namely, a graded $A$-module derivation of $P$ (or, simply, a derivation, if there is no risk of confusion) is a pair $X = (X, \sigma_X)$, where $\sigma_X$ is a graded derivation of $A$, called the symbol of $X$, and $X$ is a $K$-linear, graded operator $X : P \to P$ such that

$$X(ap) = (-)^{\sigma_X}aX(p) + \sigma_X(a)p, \quad a \in A, \quad p \in P.$$

Notice that, in general, $X$ does not determine $\sigma_X$ uniquely. That is the reason why I added the datum of $\sigma_X$ to the definition of a derivation. However, if $P$ is a faithful module, $\sigma_X$ is determined by $X$ and one can identify $X$ with its first component $X$. Accordingly, I will sometimes write $X(p)$ for $X(p)$ and use other similar slight abuses of notation without further comment. Beware that a left $A$-module derivation of $A$ is not an ordinary derivation, in general. Rather, it is a first order differential operator.

Example 4. Let $\varphi : P \to P$ be an $A$-linear map. Then $(\varphi, 0)$ is a derivation.

I will denote by $\text{Der}_A P$ (or simply $\text{Der} P$ if there is no risk of confusion) the set of left derivations of $P$. There are two different $A$-module structures on $\text{Der} P$. The first one has structure map $(a, X) \mapsto (aX, a\sigma_X)$. The second one has structure map $(a, X) \mapsto ((-)^{\sigma_X}X \circ a, a\sigma_X)$. Here, $a$ is interpreted as the multiplication operator $P \to P$, $p \mapsto ap$. Write $\text{Der}_A^L P$ (or simply $\text{Der}^L P$) for $\text{Der} P$ with the first $A$-module structure, and $\text{Der}_A^R P$ (or simply $\text{Der}^R P$) for $\text{Der} P$ with the second $A$-module structure.
Both \((A, \text{Der}^L P)\) and \((A, \text{Der}^R P)\) are graded LR algebras with Lie bracket given by

\[
[X, X'] := ([X, X'], [\sigma X, \sigma X']),
\]

and anchor \(X \mapsto \sigma X\).

Derivations of \(A\)-modules can be extended to tensor products and homomorphisms as follows. Let \(P, P'\) be \(A\)-modules and let \(X\), and \(X'\) be derivations of \(P\), and \(P'\) respectively. Suppose that \(X\), and \(X'\) share the same symbol \(\sigma = \sigma_X = \sigma_{X'}\). It is easy to see that the operator \(X^\otimes : P \otimes_A P' \rightarrow P \otimes_A P'\) defined by

\[
X^\otimes(p \otimes p') := (Xp) \otimes p' + (-)^p \cdot (X'p')
\]
descends to a well defined operator on \(P \otimes_A P'\), which, abusing the notation, I denote again by \(X^\otimes\). Moreover \(X^\otimes := (X^\otimes, \sigma)\) is a derivation. Similarly, the operator \(X^{\text{Hom}} : \text{Hom}_K(P, P') \rightarrow \text{Hom}_K(P, P')\) defined as

\[
X^{\text{Hom}}(\phi)(p) := X'\phi(p) - (-)^{\sigma\phi}(X\phi(p))
\]
descends to an operator \(X^{\text{Hom}}\) on \(\text{Hom}_A(P, P')\) and \(X^{\text{Hom}} := (X^{\text{Hom}}, \sigma)\) is a derivation.

### 3.2. Multiderivations.

Now, I generalize the notion of derivation to that of multiderivation. First I discuss multiderivations of algebras.

**Definition 5.** A multiderivation of \(A\) with \(k\) entries is a graded symmetric, \(K\)-linear operator \(H : A^{\times k} \rightarrow A\), such that

\[
H(a_1, \ldots, a_{k-1}, ab) = H(a_1, \ldots, a_{k-1}, a) \cdot b + (-)^{ab} H(a_1, \ldots, a_{k-1}, b) \cdot a,
\]

for all \(a_1, \ldots, a_{k-1}, a, b \in A\).

Denote by \(\text{Der}^k A\) the set of multiderivations of \(A\) with \(k\) entries. In particular, \(\text{Der}^0 A = A\) and \(\text{Der}^1 A\) consists of standard graded derivations of \(A\). Clearly, \(\text{Der}^k A\) is a graded \(A\)-module. Put \(\text{Der}^A := \bigoplus_k \text{Der}^k A\), which is naturally bi-graded. However, the total degree will be of primary importance for the purposes of this paper. The \(A\)-module \(\text{Der}^A\) can be given the structure of a graded Lie algebra (beware, not bi-graded) as follows. For \(H \in \text{Der}^A\), and \(H' \in \text{Der}^A\), let \([H, H'] \in \text{Der}^{k+\ell-1} A\) be defined by

\[
[H, H'] := H \circ H' - (-)^{H' H'} H' \circ H,
\]

where \(H \circ H'\) is given by

\[
(H \circ H')(\xi_1, \ldots, \xi_{k+\ell-1}) := \sum_{\sigma \in S_{k+\ell-1}} (a(\sigma, \xi_1) H(H'(\xi_{\sigma(1)}, \ldots, \xi_{\sigma(\ell)}), \xi_{\sigma(\ell+2)}, \ldots, \xi_{\sigma(k+\ell-1)})).
\]

Formulas of the kind (7) will often appear below. Apparently, this kind of formulas first appeared in [9] (for the case of a, generically non commutative, ring). Accordingly, I will refer to them as Gerstenhaber-type formulas, without further comment.

Now, let \(L\) be an \(A\)-module.

**Definition 6.** An \(A\)-module multiderivation of \(L\) (or, simply, a multiderivation, if there is no risk of confusion) with \(k\) entries is a pair \(X = (X, \sigma_X)\) where \(\sigma_X\) is a graded symmetric, \(A\)-multilinear map \(\sigma_X : L^{\times (k-1)} \rightarrow \text{Der} A\), called the symbol of \(X\), and \(X\) is a graded symmetric, \(K\)-multilinear map \(X : L^{\times k} \rightarrow L\), such that

\[
X(\xi_1, \ldots, \xi_{k-1}, a\xi_k) = \sigma_X(\xi_1, \ldots, \xi_{k-1}, a) \cdot \xi_k + (-)^{\chi'} a \cdot X(\xi_1, \ldots, \xi_k),
\]

where \(\chi' = (X + \xi_1 + \cdots + \xi_{k-1}) a\), and I put \(X(\xi_1, \ldots, \xi_{k-1}, a) := X(\xi_1, \ldots, \xi_{k-1})(a)\), for all \(\xi_1, \ldots, \xi_k \in L\), \(a \in A\).

**Example 7.** Let \(X = (X, \sigma_X)\) be an \(A\)-module multiderivation. If \(A = K\), then \(\sigma_X = 0\) and \(X\) is simply a \(K\)-multilinear map. Conversely, every \(K\)-multilinear map is a \(K\)-module multiderivation.
A version of Definition \ref{def:skew-symmetric} appeared in \cite{1} (Section 2.1). However, in that paper, the authors consider skew-symmetric multi-derivations of ungraded modules of smooth sections of vector bundles. I consider symmetric multiderivations for convenience. One can pass from the latter to the former via suitable décalage isomorphisms.

### 3.3. Lie algebras of multiderivations

Let $\text{Der}^k_L$ (or simply $\text{Der}^k_L$) denote the set of multiderivations of $L$ with $k$ entries (be aware that, in \cite{2}, the authors denote by $\text{Der}^k$ skew-symmetric multiderivations with $k + 1$ entries). In particular, $\text{Der}^0_L = L$ and $\text{Der}^1_L = \text{Der}L$. Clearly, $\text{Der}^k_L$ is a graded $A$-module. Put $\text{Der}^\bullet_A L \equiv \text{Der}^\bullet L := \bigoplus_k \text{Der}^k_A L$, which is naturally bi-graded. The $A$-module $\text{Der}^\bullet L$ can be given the structure of a graded (not bi-graded) Lie algebra as follows. For $X$ a multiderivation with $k$ entries, and $Y$ a multiderivation with $\ell$ entries, let $[X, Y]$ be the multiderivation with $k + \ell - 1$ entries defined by

$$[X, Y] := ([X, Y], \sigma_{[X, Y]}),$$

where

$$[X, Y] := X \circ Y - (-)^{XY} Y \circ X,$$

$X \circ Y$ being given by a Gerstenhaber-type formula \cite{1}, and

$$\sigma_{[X, Y]} := \sigma_X \circ Y - (-)^{XY} \sigma_Y \circ X + [\sigma_X, \sigma_Y]$$

where $\sigma_X \circ Y$ is given again by a Gerstenhaber-type formula, and $[\sigma_X, \sigma_Y]$ is given by

$$[\sigma_X, \sigma_Y](\xi_1, \ldots, \xi_{k+\ell-2}) := \sum_{\sigma \in S_{k+\ell-1}} (-)^{\chi,\xi}[\sigma_X(\xi_{\sigma(1)}), \ldots, \xi_{\sigma(k)}, \sigma_Y(\xi_{\sigma(k)}, \ldots, \xi_{\sigma(k+\ell-2)})],$$

with $\chi = (\xi_{\sigma(1)} + \cdots + \xi_{\sigma(k-1)})$, and $\xi = (\xi_1, \ldots, \xi_{k+\ell-2}) \in L^{k+\ell-2}$.

Now, consider the graded commutative algebra $\text{Sym}_A(L, A)$ of graded symmetric forms on $L$, i.e., $A$-multilinear, graded symmetric maps $L \times \cdots \times L \rightarrow A$. Consider also the symmetric algebra $S_A^\bullet L$ of $L$. I will refer to elements in $S^\bullet_A L$ as symmetric tensors (or just tensors). See Appendix \ref{appendix} for notations about forms and tensors and structures on them relevant for the purposes of this paper.

**Proposition 8.** There is a canonical morphism of graded Lie algebras

$$\eta: \text{Der}^\bullet L \longrightarrow \{\text{derivations of Sym}_A(L, A)\},$$

such that, $\eta$ maps multiderivations with $k$ entries to derivations taking $\ell$-forms to $(k + \ell - 1)$-forms. Moreover, if $L$ is projective and finitely generated, then $\eta$ is an isomorphism.

**Proof.** Let $X$ be a multiderivation with $k$ entries and $\omega$ an $\ell$-form. Put

$$\eta(X)(\omega) := \sigma_X \circ \omega - (-)^{XY} \omega \circ X,$$

where $\omega \circ X$ is given by a Gerstenhaber-type formula and

$$(\sigma_X \circ \omega)(\xi_1, \ldots, \xi_{k+\ell-1}) := \sum_{\sigma \in S_{k+\ell-1}} (-)^{\chi,\xi}[\sigma_X(\xi_{\sigma(1)}), \ldots, \xi_{\sigma(k)}, \omega(\xi_{\sigma(k)}, \ldots, \xi_{\sigma(k+\ell-1)})],$$

with $\chi = \tilde{\omega}(\xi_{\sigma(1)} + \cdots + \xi_{\sigma(k-1)})$, and $\xi = (\xi_1, \ldots, \xi_{k+\ell-1}) \in L^{k+\ell-1}$. A careful but straightforward computation shows that $\eta$ is a well defined morphism of graded Lie algebras.

Now, let $L$ be projective and finitely generated. Then $L \cong L^\ast$ and an inverse homomorphism $\eta^{-1}$ is implicitly defined as follows. Let $D$ be a derivation of $\text{Sym}_A(L, A)$ taking $\ell$-forms to $(k + \ell - 1)$-forms, and let $\omega \in L^\ast = \text{Hom}_A(L, A)$ be a $1$-form. Put $\eta^{-1}(D) := (X_D, \sigma_D)$, where

$$\sigma_D(\xi_1, \ldots, \xi_{k-1}|a) := (-)^{\chi}(Da)(\xi_1, \ldots, \xi_{k-1}),$$

for $a \in L^{k-1}$.
with $\chi = (\tilde{\xi}_1 + \cdots + \tilde{\xi}_{k-1})\bar{a}$, and
\[
\omega(X_D(\xi_1, \ldots, \xi_k)) := \sum_{i=1}^{k} (-)^{\omega_D + \chi'} \sigma_D(\xi_1, \ldots, \tilde{\xi}_i, \ldots, \xi_k | \omega(\xi_i)) + (-)^{\omega_D} D(\omega)(\xi_1, \ldots, \xi_k),
\]
with $\chi' = \omega(\tilde{\xi}_1 + \cdots + \tilde{\xi}_i + \cdots + \tilde{\xi}_k) + \tilde{\xi}_i (\tilde{\xi}_{i+1} + \cdots + \tilde{\xi}_k)$, and $\xi_1, \ldots, \xi_k \in L$.

**Proposition 9.** There is a canonical inclusion of graded Lie algebras
\[
\nu : \text{Der}^*L \rightarrow \{\text{multiderivations of } S_A^*L\},
\]
such that $\nu$ maps surjectively $k$-entry multiderivations to $k$-entry multiderivations taking $S_A^{\ell_1}L \times \cdots \times S_A^{\ell_k}L$ to $S_A^{k+\ell_1+\cdots+\ell_k-1}L$.

**Proof.** Let $X$ be a multiderivation of $L$. It is easy to see that $X$ can be extended to $S_A^*L$ as a multiderivation. \qed

**3.4. Derivation valued symmetric forms.** Now, let $P, Q$ be $A$-modules, and $L^k(P)$ be the set of pairs $(X, \nabla)$, where $X$ is a multiderivation of $L$ with $k$ entries, and $\nabla$ is an $\text{Der}^kP$-valued $(k - 1)$-form, i.e., a graded symmetric, $A$-multilinear map $\nabla : L^x(k-1) \rightarrow \text{Der}^kP$, such that, for all $\xi_1, \ldots, \xi_k \in L$
\[
\sigma_{\nabla}(\xi_1, \ldots, \xi_k) = \sigma_X(\xi_1, \ldots, \xi_k-1).
\]
In other words
\[
\nabla(\xi_1, \ldots, \xi_{k-1}|ap) = (-)^{\chi} a \nabla(\xi_1, \ldots, \xi_{k-1}|p) + \sigma_X(\xi_1, \ldots, \xi_{k-1}|a)p,
\]
where $\chi = (\nabla + \xi_1 + \cdots + \xi_{k-1})\bar{a}$, $a \in A$, $p \in P$. Put $L(P) := \bigoplus_k L^k(P)$.

Similarly, let $R^k(Q)$ be the set of pairs $(X, \Delta)$, where $X$ is as above and $\Delta$ is an $\text{Der}^kQ$-valued $(k - 1)$-form, i.e., a graded symmetric, $A$-multilinear map $\Delta : L^x(k-1) \rightarrow \text{Der}^kQ$, such that, for all $\xi_1, \ldots, \xi_k \in L$
\[
\sigma_{\Delta}(\xi_1, \ldots, \xi_{k-1}) = -\sigma_X(\xi_1, \ldots, \xi_{k-1}).
\]
In other words
\[
\Delta(\xi_1, \ldots, \xi_{k-1}|aq) = (-)^{\chi'} a \Delta(\xi_1, \ldots, \xi_{k-1}|q) - \sigma_X(\xi_1, \ldots, \xi_{k-1}|a)q,
\]
where $\chi' = (\Delta + \xi_1 + \cdots + \xi_{k-1})\bar{a}$, $a \in A$, $q \in Q$. Notice the minus sign in the right hand side of (11), in contrast with Formula (10). Put $R(Q) := \bigoplus_k R^k(Q)$.

Both $L(P)$ and $R(Q)$ can be given a structure of graded (not bi-graded) Lie algebra as follows. For $(X, \nabla) \in L^k(P)$, and $(X', \nabla') \in L^\ell(P)$, let $[(X, \nabla), (X', \nabla')] \in L^{k+\ell-1}(P)$ be defined by
\[
[(X, \nabla), (X', \nabla')] := ([X, Y], \nabla''),
\]
with
\[
\nabla'' := \nabla \circ X' - (-)^{XX} \nabla' \circ X + [\nabla, \nabla']
\]
where $\nabla \circ Y$ is given by a Gerstenhaber-type formula, and $[\nabla, \nabla']$ is given by a similar formula as $S$. Similarly, for $(X, \Delta) \in R^k(P)$, and $(X', \Delta') \in R^\ell(P)$, let $[(X, \Delta), (X', \Delta')] \in R^{k+\ell-1}(P)$ be defined by
\[
[(X, \Delta), (X', \Delta')] := ([X, Y], \Delta''),
\]
with
\[
\Delta'' := \Delta \circ X' - (-)^{XX} \Delta' \circ X - [\Delta, \Delta'].
\]
Notice the minus sign in front of the third summand of the right hand side of (13), in contrast with Formula (12).

I will say that an element $(X, \nabla)$ of $L(P)$ (resp., $R(P)$) is subordinate to the multiderivation $X.$
Proposition 10. There is a canonical morphism of graded Lie algebras

$$\eta^L : L(P) \longrightarrow \{\text{Sym}_A(L, A)\text{-module derivations of Sym}_A(L, P)\}$$

such that, for $(\mathcal{X}, \nabla) \in L^k(P)$, $\eta^L(\mathcal{X}, \nabla)$ takes $\ell$-forms to $(k+\ell-1)$-forms, and the symbol of $\eta^L(\mathcal{X}, \nabla)$ is $\eta(\mathcal{X})$. Moreover, if $L$ is projective and finitely generated, $\eta^L$ is an isomorphism.

Proof. Let $(\mathcal{X}, \nabla) \in L(P)$. Put $\eta^L(\mathcal{X}, \nabla) := (D, \eta(\mathcal{X}))$, where, for any $P$-valued form $\Omega$,

$$D(\Omega) := \nabla \circ \Omega - (-)^{|\Omega|} \Omega \circ X,$$

with $\Omega \circ X$ being given by a Gerstenhaber-type formula, and $\nabla \circ \Omega$ being given by a similar formula as $\nabla$. A careful but straightforward computation shows that $\eta^L$ is a well defined morphism of graded Lie algebras.

If $L$ is projective and finitely generated, $\eta$ is invertible and one can define $(\eta^L)^{-1}$ as follows. Let $D = (D, \sigma_D)$ be a $\text{Sym}_A(L, A)$-module derivation of $\text{Sym}_A(L, P)$ such that $D$ takes $\ell$-forms to $(k+\ell-1)$-forms. Put

$$(\eta^L)^{-1}(D) := (\eta^{-1}(\sigma_D), \nabla_D),$$

where

$$\nabla_D(\xi_1, \ldots, \xi_{k-1}| p) := (-)^k(Dp)(\xi_1, \ldots, \xi_{k-1}),$$

where $\chi = (\bar{\xi}_1 + \cdots + \bar{\xi}_{k-1})p$, $\xi_1, \ldots, \xi_{k-1} \in L$, and $p \in P$. \hfill $\Box$

Proposition 11. There is a canonical morphism of graded Lie algebras

$$\eta^R : \mathcal{R}(Q) \longrightarrow \{\text{Sym}_A(L, A)\text{-module derivations of } S^*_A L \otimes_A Q\}$$

such that, for $(\mathcal{X}, \Delta) \in \mathcal{R}^k(Q)$, $\eta^R(\mathcal{X}, \Delta)$ takes $S^*_A L \otimes_A Q$ to $S^*_{k-1}L \otimes_A Q$, and the symbol of $\eta^R(\mathcal{X}, \Delta)$ is $\eta(\mathcal{X})$. Moreover, if $L$ is projective and finitely generated, $\eta^R$ is an isomorphism.

Proof. Let $(\mathcal{X}, \Delta) \in \mathcal{R}^k(Q)$. Put $\eta^R(\mathcal{X}, \Delta) := (D, \eta(\mathcal{X}))$, with

$$D(\xi_1 \cdots \xi_k \otimes q) := \sum_{\tau \in S_{1-k,k}} \alpha(\tau, \xi)X(\xi_{\tau(1)}, \ldots, \xi_{\tau(k)})\xi_{\tau(k+1)} \cdots \xi_{\tau(\ell)} \otimes q$$

$$\quad - \sum_{\sigma \in S_{k-1,\ell-k+1}} (-)^k\alpha(\sigma, \xi)\xi_{\sigma(1)} \cdots \xi_{\sigma(\ell-k+1)} \otimes \Delta(\xi_{\sigma(\ell-k+1)} \cdots \xi_{\sigma(\ell)} \otimes q),$$

where I put $\Delta(\xi_1, \ldots, \xi_\ell| q) := \Delta(\xi_1, \ldots, \xi_\ell)(q)$, and $\chi = \hat{\chi}(\xi_{\sigma(1)} + \cdots + \xi_{\sigma(k-1)})$, $q \in Q$, $\xi_1, \ldots, \xi_\ell \in L$. A careful but straightforward computation shows that $\eta^R$ is a well defined morphism of graded Lie algebras.

If $L$ is projective and finitely generated, $\eta$ is invertible and one can define $(\eta^R)^{-1}$ as follows. Let $D = (D, \sigma_D)$ be a $\text{Sym}_A(L, A)$-module derivation of $S^*_A L \otimes_A Q$ such that $D$ takes $Q \otimes_A S^*_A L$ to $Q \otimes_A S^*_{k-1}L$. Put

$$(\eta^R)^{-1}(D) := (\eta^{-1}(\sigma_D), \Delta_D),$$

where

$$\Delta_D(\xi_1, \ldots, \xi_k| q) := D(\xi_1 \cdots \xi_k \otimes q),$$

$\xi_1, \ldots, \xi_k \in L$, $q \in Q$. \hfill $\Box$

Remark 12. Both $\eta^L$ and $\eta^R$ are bijective when restricted to elements with fixed first component $\mathcal{X} \in \text{Der}_A^* L$ in the domain, and derivations with symbol equal to $\eta(\mathcal{X})$ in the codomain.
Remark 13. In the following, it will be useful to consider suitable “completions” of some of the graded spaces that appeared in this section. Namely, put
\[ \hat{\text{Der}}^\bullet A := \prod_k \text{Der}^k A, \]
\[ \hat{\text{Der}}^\bullet_A L \equiv \hat{\text{Der}}^\bullet L := \prod_k \text{Der}^k L, \]
and, similarly, put
\[ \hat{\mathcal{E}}(P) := \prod_k \mathcal{E}^k(P), \]
\[ \hat{\mathcal{R}}(Q) := \prod_k \mathcal{R}^k(Q). \]

For instance, an element \( X \) in \( \hat{\text{Der}}^\bullet_A L \) is a formal infinite sum
\[ X = X_0 + X_1 + X_2 + \cdots = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} X_k \]
of \( A \)-module multiderivations, such that \( X_k \) has exactly \( k \)-entries. Similarly, an element \( (X, \nabla) \) in \( \hat{\mathcal{E}}(P) \) (resp., \( \hat{\mathcal{R}}(Q) \)) is a formal infinite sum
\[ (X, \nabla) = (X_0, \nabla_0) + (X_1, \nabla_1) + (X_2, \nabla_2) + \cdots = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (X_k, \nabla_k) \]
of elements in \( \mathcal{E}(P) \) (resp., \( \mathcal{R}(Q) \)), such that \( (X_k, \nabla_k) \) has \( k \) entries. All results in this section extend trivially to the above completions. For instance, The Lie brackets of \( \hat{\text{Der}}^\bullet_A L, \hat{\mathcal{E}}(P) \) and \( \hat{\mathcal{R}}(Q) \), extend to \( \hat{\text{Der}}^\bullet_A L, \hat{\mathcal{E}}(P), \hat{\mathcal{R}}(P) \) respectively, and the morphism \( \eta \) extends to a bracket preserving map
\[ \eta : \hat{\text{Der}}^\bullet_A L \to \{ "formal" \ derivations of Sym\(_A\)(L, A) \}, \]
where, by a “formal” derivation \( D \) of \( \text{Sym}\(_A\)(L, A) \), I mean a formal infinite sum
\[ D = D_0 + D_1 + D_2 + \cdots = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} D_k \]
of standard derivations such that \( D_k \) maps \( \ell \)-forms to \((k + \ell - 1)\)-forms. Morphisms \( \eta^L \) and \( \eta^R \) extend in a similar way. I leave the obvious details to the reader. In the following, if there is no risk of confusion, I will refer to elements of \( \hat{\text{Der}}^\bullet A \) and \( \hat{\text{Der}}^\bullet L \) simply as multiderivations. Similarly, I will refer to “formal” derivations of \( \text{Sym}\(_A\)(L, A) \) simply as derivations. The careful reader will find even more uninfluential abuses of notations analogous to these ones scattered in the text. For the sake of readability, I will not comment further on them.

4. SH Lie-Rinehart Algebras

Let \( A \) be an associative, graded commutative, unital \( K \)-algebra, \( L \) an \( A \)-module, and let \( X = X_0 + X_1 + X_2 + \cdots \in \hat{\text{Der}}^\bullet L \) be a multiderivation of \( L \).

Definition 14. A SH LR algebra, or an \( LR_\infty[1] \) algebra, is a pair \((A, L)\), equipped with a degree 1, multiderivation \( X \) such that \( X_0 = 0 \) and the higher Jacobiator \( J(X) := \frac{1}{4!} [X, X, X] \) vanishes.

Example 15. Let \( V \) be a graded \( K \)-vector space. \( LR_\infty[1] \) algebra structures on \((K, V)\) are equivalent to \( L_\infty[1] \) algebra structures on \( V \).

Example 16. \( L_\infty \) algebroids \cite{H1} \cite{H3} provide examples of SH LR algebras. Indeed, an \( L_\infty \) algebroid is a graded vector bundle \( E \) over a non-graded smooth manifold \( M \), equipped with a SH LR algebra structure on \((A, L) := (C^\infty(M), \Gamma(E))\). In particular, \( A \) is non-graded. Accordingly, SH LR algebras generalize \( L_\infty \) algebroids in two directions: first allowing for more general algebras \( A \) and modules
Let \((A, L)\) be an \(LR_\infty[1]\) algebra with structure multiderivation \(X\). The \(k\)-entry component of \(X\) is the \(k\)-th bracket, and the \(k\)-entry component of \(\sigma X\) is the \(k\)-th anchor of \((A, L)\). In terms of brackets and anchors, the higher Jacobiator \(\mathcal{J}(X) = (J(X), \sigma_J(X))\) reads

\[
J(X)(\xi_1, \ldots, \xi_k) = \sum_{i+j=k} \sum_{\sigma \in S_{i,j}} \alpha(\sigma, \xi) X(\xi_{\sigma(1)}, \ldots, \xi_{\sigma(i)}, \xi_{\sigma(i+1)}, \ldots, \xi_{\sigma(i+j)}),
\]

and

\[
\sigma_J(X)(\xi_1, \ldots, \xi_{k-1}|a) = \sum_{i+j=k-1} \sum_{\sigma \in S_{i,j}} (-)^{i} \alpha(\sigma, \xi) \sigma_X(\xi_{\sigma(1)}, \ldots, \xi_{\sigma(i)}|\sigma_X(\xi_{\sigma(i+1)}, \ldots, \xi_{\sigma(i+j)}|p))
\]

\[
+ \sum_{i+j=k-1} \sum_{\sigma \in S_{i,j}} \alpha(\sigma, \xi) \sigma_X(\xi_{\sigma(1)}, \ldots, \xi_{\sigma(i)}|\xi_{\sigma(i+1)}, \ldots, \xi_{\sigma(i+j)}|p),
\]

where \(\chi = \bar{\chi}(\xi_{\sigma(1)} + \cdots + \bar{\xi}_{\sigma(i)}), \xi = (\xi_1, \ldots, \xi_k) \in L^\times k, a \in A, \) and, for simplicity, I omitted the subscript \(k\) in the \(k\)-entry components of all \(K\)-multilinear maps. I will adopt the same notation below when there is no risk of confusion. The above formulas show in particular that \(X\) is an \(L_\infty[1]\) algebra structure on \(L\), and \(\sigma X\) is an \(L_\infty[1]\) module structure on \(X\).

4.1. SH LR algebras, differential algebras, and SH Poisson algebras. Let \((A, L)\) be an \(LR_\infty[1]\) algebra with structure multiderivation \(X\). The morphism \(\eta\) of Proposition 8 maps \(X\) to a degree 1 (formal) derivation \(D = D_1 + D_2 + \cdots\) of \(\text{Sym}_A(L, A)\). Since \(\eta\) preserves the brackets, \(D\) is a homological derivation which amounts to \(\sum_{i+j=k} [D_i, D_j] = 0\), for all \(k\). In terms of anchors and brackets \(D_k\) is given by the following higher Chevalley-Eilenberg formula 36 15

\[
(D_k\omega)(\xi_1, \ldots, \xi_{k+\ell-1}) := \sum_{\sigma \in S_{k-1, \ell}} (-)^{\chi} \alpha(\sigma, \xi) \sigma_X(\xi_{\sigma(1)}, \ldots, \xi_{\sigma(k-1)} | \omega(\xi_{\sigma(k)}, \ldots, \xi_{\sigma(k+\ell-1)}))
\]

\[
- \sum_{\tau \in S_{k, \ell-1}} (-)^{\tau} \alpha(\tau, \xi) \omega(X(\xi_{\tau(1)}, \ldots, \xi_{\tau(k)}), \xi_{\tau(k+1)}, \ldots, \xi_{\tau(k+\ell-1)}), \quad (14)
\]

where \(\bar{\chi} = \bar{\omega}(\xi_{\sigma(1)} + \cdots + \bar{\xi}_{\sigma(k-1)}), \omega\) is an \(\ell\)-form, and \(\xi_1, \ldots, \xi_{k+\ell-1} \in L\). In view of Proposition 8 if \(L\) is projective and finitely generated, then an \(LR_\infty[1]\) algebra structure on \((A, L)\) is equivalent to a formal homological derivation \(D\) of \(\text{Sym}_A(L, A)\) such that \(D_0 = 0\).

Definition 17. The pair \((\text{Sym}_A(L, A), D)\) is the Chevalley-Eilenberg DG algebra of \((A, L)\) and it is denoted by \(\text{CE}(A, L)\).

Notice that the projection

\[
\text{CE}(A, L) \rightarrow (A, \sigma_X)
\]

is a morphism of DG algebras.

Example 18. Let \(V\) be an \(L_\infty[1]\) algebra. Then \((K, V)\) is an \(LR_\infty[1]\) algebra and \(\text{CE}(K, V)\) is nothing but the Chevalley-Eilenberg algebra of \(V\).

Definition 19. A \(P_\infty\) algebra is an associative, graded commutative, unital algebra \(P\) equipped with a degree 1 multiderivation \(\Lambda \in \text{Der}^* P\) such that \(\Lambda_0 = 0\) and \(J(\Lambda) := \frac{1}{2}[\Lambda, \Lambda] = 0\).

In other words a \(P_\infty\) algebra structure on \(P\) (\(P\) for “Poisson”) is an \(L_\infty[1]\) algebra structure such that the brackets are multiderivations 4. Thus, \(P_\infty\) algebras are homotopy versions of Poisson agebras.

Let \((A, L)\) be an \(LR_\infty[1]\) algebra with structure multiderivation \(X\). The morphism \(\nu\) of Proposition 9 maps \(X\) to a degree 1 derivation \(\Lambda = \Lambda_1 + \Lambda_2 + \cdots\) of \(S^1_L\). Since \(\nu\) preserves the brackets, then
[\Lambda, \Lambda] = 0. In view of Proposition \cite{31} an LR_{\infty}[1] algebra structure on (A, L) is actually equivalent to a P_{\infty} algebra structure \Lambda on \mathcal{S}_{A}^{*}L such that \Lambda_{0} = 0 and \Lambda_{k} takes \mathcal{S}_{A}^{*}L \times \cdots \times \mathcal{S}_{A}^{*}L to \mathcal{S}_{A}^{*+k+\cdots+k-1}L.

**Example 20.** Let A be the graded algebra of smooth functions on a graded manifold \mathcal{M} and L be the module of sections of a graded vector bundle \mathcal{E} over \mathcal{M}. Then L is projective and finitely generated. Moreover, \mathcal{S}_{A}^{*}L identifies with the algebra of fiber-wise polynomial functions on the dual bundle \mathcal{E}^{*}, and symmetric forms on L identify with fiber-wise polynomial functions on \mathcal{E}. In their turn, symmetric multiderivations of \mathcal{S}_{A}^{*}L identify with (homogenous, fiber-wise polynomial functions) on T^{*}\mathcal{E}^{*}. Denote by \{\cdot, \cdot\}_{\mathcal{E}^{*}} the canonical Poisson bracket on C^{\infty}(T^{*}\mathcal{E}^{*}). Finally recall that there is a canonical (Tulczyjew-type \cite{31}) isomorphism (of double vector bundles over \mathcal{M}) T^{*}\mathcal{E}^{*} \simeq T^{*}\mathcal{E}. An LR_{\infty}[1] algebra structure in (A, L) is then the same as (see \cite{31} for the case when \mathcal{M} is a non-graded manifold, see also the appendix of \cite{38}).

1. a degree 1 function \( S \) on T^{*}\mathcal{E}^{*}, such that \( \{S, S\}_{\mathcal{E}^{*}} = 0 \), \( S \) is fiber-wise linear with respect to projection T^{*}\mathcal{E}^{*} \rightarrow \mathcal{E} and vanishes on the graph of the zero section of T^{*}\mathcal{E}^{*} \rightarrow \mathcal{E}^{*};

2. a homological vector field on \( \mathcal{E} \) tangent to the zero section.

I will name L_{\infty}[1] algebraoid with graded base any graded vector bundle \( \mathcal{E} \) over a graded manifold \( \mathcal{M} \) with a homological vector field tangent to the zero section. Let \( \mathcal{E} \rightarrow \mathcal{M} \) be an L_{\infty}[1] algebraoid with graded base. Then, in particular, both \( \mathcal{E} \) and \( \mathcal{M} \) are Q-manifolds (see, for instance, \cite{26, 6}), and the zero section is a morphism of Q-manifolds (however, beware that \( \mathcal{E} \rightarrow \mathcal{M} \) is not, in general, a Q-bundle in the sense of \cite{20}). The “transformation L_{\infty} algebraoids” of Mehta and Zambon (which are associated to the action of an L_{\infty} algebra on a graded manifolds, see Remark 4.5 in \cite{24}) are examples of the L_{\infty}[1] algebraoids with graded base defined here. Actually the former can be generalized to transformation L_{\infty} algebraoids associated to the action of an L_{\infty}[1] algebraoid (with graded base) on a graded fibered manifold (see Example \cite{28} in Section 5.3).

5. LEFT SH LR CONNECTIONS

Left connections along SH LR algebras generalize simultaneously: connections along LR algebras to the homotopy setting, and representations of L_{\infty} algebras to the LR setting.

Let (A, L) be an LR_{\infty}[1] algebra with structure multiderivation \( \Xi \), and \( P \) an A-module.

**Definition 21.** A left (A, L)-connection in \( P \) is a degree 1, Der\( ^{P} \)-valued form \( \nabla \), such that (\( \mathcal{X}, \nabla \)) \in \( \tilde{\mathcal{L}}(P) \). The Der\( ^{P} \)-valued form J(\( \nabla \)) := \nabla \circ X + \frac{1}{2}[\nabla, \nabla] \) is the curvature of \( \nabla \). A left (A, L)-connection is flat if the curvature vanishes identically. An A-module with a flat left (A, L)-connection is a left (A, L)-module.

**Example 22.** Let \( V \) be an L_{\infty}[1] algebra. Then (\( K, V \)) is an LR_{\infty}[1] algebra and left (\( K, V \))-modules are just L_{\infty}[1] modules over \( V \).

**Remark 23.** The curvature J(\( \nabla \)) of a left (A, L)-connection is the second component of the commutator

\[
\frac{1}{2}[(\mathcal{X}, \nabla), (\mathcal{X}, \nabla)] = (J(\mathcal{X}), J(\nabla)),
\]

whose first component vanishes identically. Accordingly, the symbol of J(\( \nabla \))(\( \xi_{1}, \ldots, \xi_{k-1} \)) vanishes identically, for all \( \xi_{1}, \ldots, \xi_{k-1} \in L \), \( k \in \mathbb{N} \), i.e., J(\( \nabla \)) takes values in End\( _{A}P \). Moreover, it follows from the Jacobi identity for the Lie bracket in \( \tilde{\mathcal{L}}(P) \) that [(\( \mathcal{X}, \nabla \)), [(\( \mathcal{X}, \nabla \)), (\( \mathcal{X}, \nabla \))]] = 0, i.e.,

\[
[\nabla, J(\nabla)] - J(\nabla) \circ X = 0,
\]

which is a higher version of the Bianchi identity.
In terms of the components of $\nabla$, the curvature is given by formulas
\[
J(\nabla)(\xi_1, \ldots, \xi_k) p := \sum_{i+j=k-1} \sum_{\sigma \in S_{i,j}} \alpha(\sigma, \xi) \nabla(X(\xi_\sigma(1), \ldots, \xi_\sigma(i)), \xi_\sigma(i+1), \ldots, \xi_\sigma(i+j)) p \\
+ \sum_{i+j=k-1} \sum_{\sigma \in S_{i,j}} (-)^y \alpha(\sigma, \xi) \nabla(\xi_\sigma(1), \ldots, \xi_\sigma(i)|\nabla(\xi_\sigma(i+1), \ldots, \xi_\sigma(i+j)) p),
\]
(17)
where $\chi = \xi_\sigma(1) + \cdots + \xi_\sigma(i)$, and $\xi = (\xi_1, \ldots, \xi_k) \in L, p \in P.$

The morphism $\eta^L$ of Proposition [10] maps $(\mathcal{X}, \nabla)$ to a degree 1, $\text{Sym}_A(L, A)$-module derivation $D^\mathcal{X} = D_1^\mathcal{X} + D_2^\mathcal{X} + \cdots$ of $\text{Sym}_A(L, P)$ with symbol $D$. In terms of anchors and brackets, $D_k^\mathcal{X}$ is given by the following formula
\[
(D_k^\mathcal{X}\Omega)(\xi_1, \ldots, \xi_{k+\ell-1}) := \sum_{\sigma \in S_{k-1}, i} (-)^\chi \alpha(\sigma, \xi) \nabla(\xi_\sigma(1), \ldots, \xi_\sigma(k-1)|\Omega(\xi_\sigma(k), \ldots, \xi_\sigma(k+\ell-1))) \\
- \sum_{\tau \in S_{k-1}, j} (-)^\chi \alpha(\sigma, \xi) \Omega(X(\xi_\tau(1), \ldots, \xi_\tau(k)), \xi_\tau(k+1), \ldots, \xi_\tau(k+\ell-1)),
\]
(18)
where $\chi = \Omega(\xi_\sigma(1) + \cdots + \xi_\sigma(k-1)), \Omega$ is an $P$-valued $\ell$-form, and $\xi_1, \ldots, \xi_{k+\ell-1} \in L.$ In view of Remark [12] the left $(A, L)$-connection $\nabla$ in $P$ is actually equivalent to $D^\mathcal{X}$ and it is flat iff $J^\mathcal{X} := \frac{1}{2}[D^\mathcal{X}, D^\mathcal{X}] = 0.$ Notice that the symbol of $J^\mathcal{X}$ vanishes identically, i.e., $J^\mathcal{X}$ is a degree 2, $\text{Sym}_A(L, A)$-linear endomorphism of $\text{Sym}_A(L, P).$ In terms of the components of the curvature, $J^\mathcal{X} = J_1^\mathcal{X} + J_2^\mathcal{X} + \cdots$ is given by formulas
\[
J_k^\mathcal{X}(\Omega)(\xi_1, \ldots, \xi_{k+\ell-1}) := \sum_{\sigma \in S_{k-1}, r} (-)^\chi \alpha(\sigma, \xi) J(\nabla)(\xi_\sigma(1), \ldots, \xi_\sigma(k-1)|\Omega(\xi_\sigma(k), \ldots, \xi_\sigma(k+\ell-1))),
\]
where $\chi = \Omega(\xi_\sigma(1) + \cdots + \xi_\sigma(k-1)), \Omega$ is an $P$-valued $\ell$-form, and $\xi_1, \ldots, \xi_{k+\ell-1} \in L.$

Let $P$ be a left $(A, L)$-module with structure (flat) left connection $\nabla$.

**Definition 24.** The pair $(\text{Sym}_A(L, P), D^\mathcal{X})$ is the Chevalley-Eilenberg DG module of $P$, and it is denoted by $CE(P)$.

Notice that the projection
\[
CE(P) \longrightarrow (P, \nabla_1)
\]
is a morphism of DG modules over $[15].$

**Example 25.** Let $\mathcal{E}$ be a non-graded Lie algebroid over a non-graded smooth manifold $M$, and $\mathcal{Y}$ a graded vector bundle over $M$. Denote by $\Omega(\mathcal{E})$ the graded algebra of sections of the exterior bundle $\Lambda^*\mathcal{E}^*$, and by $\Omega(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{Y})$ the $\Omega(\mathcal{E})$-module of sections of the vector bundle $\Lambda^*\mathcal{E}^* \otimes_M \mathcal{Y}$. The pair $(C^\infty(M), \Gamma(\mathcal{E}))$ is a non-graded Lie-Rinehart algebra and $(A, L) := (C^\infty(M), \Gamma(\mathcal{E}))$ is an $LR_\infty[1]$ algebra whose structure multiderivation has only a two entry component. Representations up to homotopy of $E$ [11] provide examples of left $(A, L)$-modules. Recall that a representation up to homotopy is, by definition, a vector bundle $\mathcal{Y}$ equipped with a degree $1$, $\Omega(\mathcal{E})$-module homological derivation of $\Omega(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{Y})$ subordinate to the Chevalley-Eilenberg differential in $\Omega(\mathcal{E})$ (Definition 3.1 in [1]). Clearly, $\Omega(E) \simeq \text{Sym}_A(L, A)$ and $\Omega(E, \mathcal{Y}) \simeq \text{Sym}_A(L, \Gamma(\mathcal{Y}))$. Moreover $L$ is a projective and finitely generated $A$-module. Therefore, a representation up to homotopy is equivalent to a left $(A, L)$-module. It turns out that a good definition of adjoint representation of a Lie algebroid can be given in the setting of representations up to homotopy. As for Lie algebras, cohomologies of the adjoint representation control deformations of the Lie algebroid [5]. It would be interesting to explore the problem of finding a good definition of adjoint representation of an $L_\infty$ algebroid (over a possibly graded manifold) or even of adjoint representation of an $SHLR$ algebra.
5.1. **Higher Left Schouten-Nijenhuis Calculus.** Cartan calculus on a smooth manifold is the calculus of vector fields and differential forms. Schouten-Nijenhuis calculus is the calculus of (skew-symmetric) multivector fields and differential forms. The latter consists of some identities involving calculus of vector fields and differential forms. Schouten-Nijenhuis calculus is the calculus of (skew-derivative. Namely, let \( i_u \) be the insertion of a multivector \( u \) into differential forms. The *Lie derivative along \( u \) is the operator \( L_u := [i_u, d] \), where \( d \) is the exterior derivative. Denote by \([u, v]_\Lambda \) the Schouten-Nijenhuis bracket of multivectors \( u, v \). The following identity holds

\[
[[d, i_u], i_v] = -\langle\langle u, v \rangle\rangle i_{[u, v]}_\Lambda,
\]

where \( u, v \) multivectors. Moreover, from (19), and the definition of the Lie derivative, it follows immediately that

\[
[L_u, L_v] = L_{[u, v]}_\Lambda,
\]

\[
L_u i_v = i_{[u, v]}_\Lambda - \langle\langle u, v \rangle\rangle i_u L_v,
\]

\[
L_{u v} = i_u L_v + (-)^n L_u i_v.
\]

The above identities can be generalized as follows. Replace ordinary differential forms with differential forms with values in a vector bundle equipped with a connection. Replace \( d \) with the Chevalley-Eilenberg algebra of the connection. All the above identities remain valid except (20) which gains a right hand side depending on the curvature of the connection. Finally, Schouten-Nijenhuis calculus can be easily extended to Lie algebroids (actually, LR algebras) more general than the tangent bundle.

In this section, I generalize further to SH LR algebras and left connections along them. Higher derived brackets \([39, 35]\) play here a key role.

Let \((A, L)\) be an \( LR_\infty[1] \) algebra with structure multiderivation \( \mathbb{X} \). Recall that \( \mathbb{X} \) determines, via Proposition 3, a \( P_\infty \) algebra structure \( \Lambda \) on \( S_A^*L \), i.e., a multiderivation \( \Lambda = \Lambda_1 + \Lambda_2 + \cdots \) such that \([\Lambda, \Lambda] = 0\). In particular, \( \Lambda \) is an \( L_\infty[1] \) algebra structure on \( S_A^*L \). In other words, \((K, S_A^*L)\) is an \( LR_\infty[1] \) algebra. In this section I will adopt this interpretation. In the following denote

\[
\{u_1, \ldots, u_k\} := \Lambda(u_1, \ldots, u_k), \quad u_1, \ldots, u_k \in S_A^*L, \quad k \in \mathbb{N}.
\]

Consider an \( A \)-module \( P \) with a left \((A, L)\)-connection \( \nabla \), and the corresponding \( \Sym_A(L, A) \)-module derivation \( D^\nabla = D_1^\nabla + D_2^\nabla + \cdots \) of \( \Sym_A(L, P) \).

Recall that if \( B \) is an associative, graded commutative, unital algebra and \( R \) is a \( B \)-module, a *differential operator of order \( k \) in \( R \) is a \( K \)-linear map \( \square : R \to R \) such that

\[
\left[ \cdots \left[ \left[ \square, b_1 \right], b_2 \right], \cdots, b_{k+1} \right] = 0, \quad b_1, \ldots, b_{k+1} \in P,
\]

where the \( b_i \)'s are interpreted as multiplication operators \( P \to P, \quad p \mapsto b_i p \).

**Proposition 26.** For all \( u_1, \ldots, u_k \in S_A^*L \),

\[
\left[ \cdots \left[ D_k^\nabla, i_{u_1} \right], i_{u_2} \right], \cdots, i_{u_k} \right] = -(-)^k i_{[u_1, \ldots, u_k]}.
\]

In particular, \( D_k^\nabla \) is a differential operator of order \( k \) in the \( S_A^*L \)-module \( \Sym_A(L, P) \).

**Proof.** First of all, notice that, since \([i_u, i_v] = 0\) for all tensors \( u, v \), then (23) implies that \( D_k^\nabla \) is a differential operator of order \( k \). Now I prove (23). Suppose, preliminarily, that identity (23) is true when \( u_1, \ldots, u_k \) are either from \( A \) or from \( L \). Now, let \( u_i \in S_A^*L \), \( i = 1, \ldots, k \). Since \([i_u, D] = i_u [v, D] + (-)^{vD} [i_u, D] v \), for all symmetric tensors \( u, v \) and all graded \( K \)-linear endomorphisms \( D \) of \( \Sym_A(L, P) \), the general assertion (23) follows from an easy induction on the \( \ell_i \). It remains to prove (23) when \( u_1, \ldots, u_k \) are either 0 or 1-tensors.

Put \( I(u_1, \ldots, u_k) := \left[ \cdots \left[ [D_k^\nabla, i_{u_1}], i_{u_2} \right], \cdots, i_{u_k} \right] \). Since \([i_u, i_v] = 0\) for all tensors \( u, v \), then, in view of the Jacobi identity for the graded commutator, \( I \) is graded symmetric in its arguments. Moreover,
Finally, I have to show that
\[ \mathcal{I}(a, \xi_1, \ldots, \xi_{k-1}) = -(-)^ki_{a,\xi_1,\ldots,\xi_{k-1}}. \]

Finally, I have to show that
\[ \mathcal{I}(\xi_1, \ldots, \xi_k) = -(-)^ki_{\xi_1,\ldots,\xi_k}, \quad \xi_1, \ldots, \xi_k \in L. \]

Notice that \( \mathcal{I}(\xi_1, \ldots, \xi_k) \) is an \( A \)-linear endomorphism of \( \text{Sym}_A(L, P) \), and, for \( \Omega \) an \( r \)-form, \( \mathcal{I}(\xi_1, \ldots, \xi_k)(\Omega) \) is an \( (r - 1) \)-form. Thus, I have to show that
\[ \mathcal{I}(\xi_1, \ldots, \xi_k)(\Omega)(\xi_1, \ldots, \xi_{r-1}) = -(-)^k(i_{\xi_1,\ldots,\xi_k} \Omega)(\xi_1, \ldots, \xi_{r-1}), \quad (24) \]
for all \( \Omega \) and all \( \zeta_1, \ldots, \zeta_r \in L \). For \( r = 0 \) both sides of (24) vanish. Now, let \( r > 0 \). Since both sides of (24) are graded symmetric in \( \xi_1, \ldots, \xi_k \) on one side, and in \( \zeta_1, \ldots, \zeta_r \) on the other side, it is enough to consider the case when \( \xi_1 = \cdots = \xi_k = \xi, \zeta_1 = \cdots = \zeta_r = \zeta \), and \( \xi \) and \( \zeta \) are even. Thus, put
\[ \xi^m := (\xi, \ldots, \xi) \in L^x, \]
and similarly for \( \zeta \). Compute
\[ \mathcal{I}(\xi^k)(\zeta^{r-1}) = \sum_{j=0}^k (-)^{k-j} \binom{k}{j} (i_{\xi} \mathcal{I})^j \zeta^{r-j}, \quad (25) \]
distinguishing the following two cases.

**Case 1:** \( k \leq r \). A straightforward computation shows that the right hand side of (25) is
\[
\sum_{i=1}^k \sum_{j=1}^i (-)^{k-j} \binom{k}{j} \binom{k-j}{k-i} \zeta^{r-j-i} \nabla_k (\xi^{k-i} \zeta^{r-k+i}) \Omega(\xi^i, \zeta^{r-i}) \]
\[
- \sum_{i=1}^k \sum_{j=0}^{k-j} (-)^{(k-j)} \binom{k-j}{k-i} \zeta^{r-j-i} \Omega(\{\xi^{k-i}, \zeta^i\}, \xi^i, \zeta^{r-i}) \]
\[
+ \sum_{i=1}^k (-)^{k-j} \binom{k-j}{r-i} \nabla_k (\xi^{k-i} \zeta^{r-i} \Omega(\xi^i, \zeta^{r-i})) - (-)^k \Omega(\{\xi^k\}, \zeta^{r-1}) \]

Now,
\[ \sum_{j=\varepsilon}^i (-)^j \binom{k-j}{k-i} = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } \varepsilon = 0 \\ -\binom{k}{i} & \text{if } \varepsilon = 1 \end{cases} \quad (26) \]
Substituting above, one gets
\[ \mathcal{I}(\xi^k)(\zeta^{r-1}) = -(-)^k(i_{\xi^k} \Omega)(\zeta^{r-1}). \]
Case II: \( k > r \). The right hand side of (25) is
\[
\sum_{i=1}^{r} \sum_{j=1}^{r} (-)^{k-j} (\begin{pmatrix} k \\ i \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} r-1 \\ j-1 \end{pmatrix}) \nabla_k (\xi^{k-i}, \zeta^{i-1}|\Omega(\xi^i, \zeta^{r-i})) \\
+ \sum_{i=r+1}^{k} \sum_{j=1}^{r} (-)^{k-j} (\begin{pmatrix} k \\ i \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} r-1 \\ j-1 \end{pmatrix}) \nabla_k (\xi^{k-i}, \zeta^{i-1}|\Omega(\xi^i, \zeta^{r-i})) \\
- \sum_{i=0}^{r} \sum_{j=0}^{r} (-)^{\Omega+k-j} (\begin{pmatrix} k \\ i \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} r-1 \\ j-1 \end{pmatrix}) \Omega((\xi^{k-i}, \zeta^{i}), \xi^i, \zeta^{r-i-1}) \\
- \sum_{i=r+1}^{k} \sum_{j=0}^{r} (-)^{\Omega+k-j} (\begin{pmatrix} k \\ i \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} r-1 \\ j-1 \end{pmatrix}) \Omega((\xi^{k-i}, \zeta^{i}), \xi^i, \zeta^{r-i-1}) \\
+ \sum_{i=1}^{k} (-)^{k} (\begin{pmatrix} k \\ i \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} r-1 \\ i-1 \end{pmatrix}) \nabla_k (\xi^{k-i}, \zeta^{i-1}|\Omega(\xi^i, \zeta^{r-i})),
\]
and, using again (26), one gets
\[
I(\xi^k)(\Omega)(\zeta^{r-1}) = - \sum_{i=1}^{r} (-)^{k} (\begin{pmatrix} k \\ i \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} r-1 \\ i-1 \end{pmatrix}) \nabla_k (\xi^{k-i}, \zeta^{i-1}|\Omega(\xi^i, \zeta^{r-i})) \\
- \sum_{i=r+1}^{k} (-)^{k} (\begin{pmatrix} k \\ i \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} r-1 \\ i-1 \end{pmatrix}) \nabla_k (\xi^{k-i}, \zeta^{i-1}|\Omega(\xi^i, \zeta^{r-i})) \\
+ \sum_{i=1}^{k} (-)^{k} (\begin{pmatrix} k \\ i \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} r-1 \\ i-1 \end{pmatrix}) \nabla_k (\xi^{k-i}, \zeta^{i-1}|\Omega(\xi^i, \zeta^{r-i})) \\
- (-)^{\Omega+k} \Omega((\xi^k), \zeta^{r-1}) = -(-)^{k}(i_{\xi^k} \Omega)(\zeta^{r-1}).
\]

Identity (24) is a homotopy version of identity (19). Now I define a “homotopy Lie derivative” and prove homotopy versions of identities (20), (21), and (22). For \( u_1, \ldots, u_{k-1} \in S^*_L \), and \( \Omega \in \text{Sym}_A(L, P) \), put
\[
L^\nabla_k (u_1, \ldots, u_{k-1}|\Omega) := -(-)^k \cdot [D^\nabla_k, i_{u_1}, i_{u_2}, \ldots, i_{u_{k-1}}]|\Omega.
\]

**Theorem 27.** The sum \( L^\nabla := L^\nabla_1 + L^\nabla_2 + \cdots \) is a \((K, S^*_L)-\text{connection}\) in \( \text{Sym}_A(L, P) \) whose curvature \( J(L^\nabla) \) is given by
\[
J(L^\nabla)(u_1, \ldots, u_{k-1}|\Omega) = -(-)^k[i_{\xi} \cdots [D^\nabla_k, i_{u_1}, i_{u_2}, \ldots, i_{u_{k-1}}]|\Omega].
\] (27)
Moreover
\[
L^\nabla(u_1, \ldots, u_{k-1}|i_u \Omega) = i_{u_1} \cdots [D^\nabla_k, i_{u_1}, i_{u_2}, \ldots, i_{u_{k-1}}]|\Omega.
\] (28)
where \( \chi = \bar{u}(\bar{u}_1 + \cdots + \bar{u}_{k-1} + 1) \), and
\[
L^\nabla(uu_1, u_2, \ldots, u_{k-1}|\Omega) = (-)^{\chi} i_u L^\nabla(u_1, \ldots, u_{k-1}|\Omega) + (-)^{\chi'} L^\nabla(u, u_2, \ldots, u_{k-1}|\Omega).
\] (29)
where \( \chi' = \bar{u}_1 \bar{u}_2 + \cdots + \bar{u}_{k-1} \), for all tensors \( u, u_1, \ldots, u_k \), and all \( P \)-valued forms \( \Omega \).

**Proof.** Formula (27) is a straightforward consequence of Lemma 4.2 of [35]. Formula (28) immediately follows from Proposition 20. Formula (29) is a consequence of the Leibniz formula for the graded commutator.  
\[\square\]
Corollary 28. Let $\nabla$ be a left $(A, L)$-connection in $P$. If $\nabla$ is flat, then $\nabla^\otimes$ equips $\Sym_A(L, P)$ with the structure of a left $L_\infty[1]$ module over the $L_\infty[1]$ algebra $S^\bullet L$. If, in addition, $L$ is projective and finitely generated, then the converse is also true.

5.2. Operations with Left Connections. Let $(A, L)$ be an $LR_\infty[1]$ algebra. Standard connections induce connections on tensor products and homomorphisms. The same is true for $(A, L)$-connections. Namely, let $P$ and $P'$ be $A$-modules with left $(A, L)$-connections $\nabla$ and $\nabla'$, respectively. It is easy to see that formulas

$$
\nabla^\otimes(\xi_1, \ldots, \xi_{k-1}|p \otimes p') := \nabla(\xi_1, \ldots, \xi_{k-1}|p) \otimes p' + (-)^{\chi + p} \otimes \nabla'(\xi_1, \ldots, \xi_{k-1}|p'),
$$

where $\chi = (\xi_1 + \cdots + \xi_{k-1})\tilde{p}$, define a left $(A, L)$-connection $\nabla^\otimes$ in $P \otimes_A P'$. A straightforward computation shows that the curvature $J(\nabla^\otimes)$ is given by formulas

$$
J(\nabla^\otimes)(\xi_1, \ldots, \xi_{k-1}|p \otimes p') = J(\nabla)(\xi_1, \ldots, \xi_{k-1}|p) \otimes p' + (-)^p J(\nabla')(\xi_1, \ldots, \xi_{k-1}|p').
$$

In particular, if $\nabla$ and $\nabla'$ are flat, then $\nabla^\otimes$ is flat as well.

Similarly, formulas

$$
\nabla^{\Hom}(\xi_1, \ldots, \xi_{k-1}|\varphi)(p) := \nabla'(\xi_1, \ldots, \xi_{k-1}|\varphi(p)) - (-)^{\chi + p} \varphi(\nabla(\xi_1, \ldots, \xi_{k-1}|p)),
$$

where $\chi = (\xi_1 + \cdots + \xi_{k-1})\tilde{p}$, define a left $(A, L)$-connection $\nabla^{\Hom}$ in $\Hom_A(P, P')$. A straightforward computation shows that the curvature $J(\nabla^{\Hom})$ is given by formulas

$$
J(\nabla^{\Hom})(\xi_1, \ldots, \xi_{k-1}|\varphi)(p) := J(\nabla)(\xi_1, \ldots, \xi_{k-1}|\varphi(p)) - (-)^{\chi} \varphi(J(\nabla')(\xi_1, \ldots, \xi_{k-1}|p)).
$$

In particular, if $\nabla$ and $\nabla'$ are flat, then $\nabla^{\Hom}$ is flat as well.

Remark 29. Recall that the connections $\nabla$ and $\nabla'$ determine $\Sym_A(L, A)$-module derivations $D^\nabla$ and $D^{\nabla'}$ on $\Sym_A(L, P)$, and $\Sym_A(L, P')$ respectively, both with the same symbol $D$. Therefore they also determine a $\Sym_A(L, A)$-module derivation in the tensor product

$$
T := \Sym_A(L, P) \otimes_{\Sym_A(L, A)} \Sym_A(L, P'),
$$

with symbol equal to $D$. If $L$ is projective and finitely generated, then $T \simeq \Sym_A(L, P \otimes P')$ and a derivation of $T$ (with symbol $D$) is the same as a left connection in $P \otimes P'$. It is easy to see that the connection in $P \otimes P'$ obtained in this way is precisely $\nabla^\otimes$.

Remark 30. Let $P$ be an $A$-module with a left $(A, L)$-connection $\nabla$. There is an induced left $(A, L)$-connection $\nabla^{\End}$ in $\End_A P$. In its turn, $\nabla^{\End}$ determines a derivation $D^{\End}$ on $\End_A P$-valued forms. On the other hand, in view of Remark 24, the curvature $J(\nabla)$ of $\nabla$ is an $\End_A P$-valued form and

$$
D^{\End} J(\nabla) = \nabla^{\End} \circ J(\nabla) - J(\nabla) \circ X = [\nabla, J(\nabla)] - J(\nabla) \circ X = 0,
$$

where I used the Bianchi identity [10].

5.3. Actions of SH LR Algebras. A Lie algebra may act on a manifold. The action is then encoded by an transformation (or, action) Lie algebroid. More generally, a Lie algebroid may act on a fibered manifolds over the same base manifold. The action is again encoded by a transformation Lie algebroid [10]. The algebraic counterpart of a fibered manifold is an algebra extension. Accordingly, LR algebras may act on algebra extensions, and the action is encoded by a new LR algebra. On the other hand, $L_\infty$ algebras may act on graded manifolds [27]. In this section, I show how to generalize simultaneously actions of Lie algebroids (on fibered manifolds) and actions of $L_\infty$ algebras (on graded manifolds) to actions of SH LR algebras on graded algebra extensions. I also describe the analogue of the transformation Lie algebroid in this context.
Let \((A, L)\) be an \(LR_\infty[1]\) algebra with structure multiderivation \(X\), and \(A\) an associative, graded commutative, unital algebra, i.e., a graded algebra extension \(A \subset A\). In particular, \(A\) is an \(A\)-module. Of special interest are left \((A, L)\)-connections \(\nabla\) in \(A\), which take values in derivations of \(A\) (recall that a general connection takes values in \(A\)-module derivations of \(A\), which are more general operators than algebra derivations).

**Definition 31.** A pre-action of the \(LR_\infty[1]\) algebra \((A, L)\) on \(A\) is a left \((A, L)\)-connection \(\nabla\) on \(A\) which takes values in algebra derivations of \(A\), i.e., for all \(\xi_1, \ldots, \xi_{k-1} \in L\), and \(f, g \in A\)

\[
\nabla_k(\xi_1, \ldots, \xi_{k-1}|f)g = \nabla_k(\xi_1, \ldots, \xi_{k-1}|f)g + (-)^g \nabla_k(\xi_1, \ldots, \xi_{k-1}|g)f.
\]

A flat pre-action is an action.

**Remark 32.** An associative, graded commutative, unital \(A\)-algebra with an action \(\nabla\) of \((A, L)\) is, in particular, a \(DG\) algebra (just forget about all the structure maps \(\nabla_k\) except the first one).

**Example 33.** Let \(\nabla\) be a left \((A, L)\)-connection in a left \(A\)-module \(P\). The induced \((A, L)\)-connections in \(S^*_A P\) and \(\text{Sym}_A(P, A)\) are obviously pre-actions, and they are actions if \(\nabla\) is flat (see Section 5.2). Pre-actions on \(S^*_A P\) induced by left \((A, L)\)-connections in \(P\) are characterized by the condition that the derivations \(\nabla(\xi_1, \ldots, \xi_{k-1})\) restrict to \(P\). Similarly, if \(P\) is a projective and finitely generated \(A\)-module, pre-actions on \(\text{Sym}_A(P, A)\) induced by left \((A, L)\)-connections in \(P\) are characterized by the condition that the derivations \(\nabla(\xi_1, \ldots, \xi_{k-1})\) restrict to \(P^* := \text{Hom}_A(P, A)\). Indeed, under the regularity hypothesis on \(P\), one has \(\text{Sym}_A(P, A) \cong S^*_A P^*\) and, since \(P \cong P^{**}\), left \((A, L)\)-connections in \(P\) are equivalent to left \((A, L)\)-connections in \(P^*\).

**Example 34.** Let \(A\) be the graded algebra of smooth functions on a graded manifold \(\mathcal{M}\) and \(L\) be the module of sections of a graded vector bundle \(E\) over \(\mathcal{M}\). Moreover, let \(A\) be the \(A\)-algebra of smooth functions on a graded manifold \(\mathcal{F}\) which is fibered over \(\mathcal{M}\). As already remarked, an \(LR_\infty[1]\) algebra structure in \(L\) is the same as a homological vector field \(\delta\) on \(\mathcal{E}\) tangent to the zero section. Moreover, the \(\text{Sym}_A(L, A)\)-algebra \(\text{Sym}_A(L, A)\) identifies with the module of fiber-wise polynomial functions on the total space \(\mathcal{E} \times_\mathcal{M} \mathcal{F}\) of the induced bundle \(\pi : \mathcal{E} \times_\mathcal{M} \mathcal{F} \longrightarrow \mathcal{E}\). In their turn, derivations of \(\text{Sym}_A(L, A)\) identify with vector fields on \(\mathcal{E} \times_\mathcal{M} \mathcal{F}\). Therefore, a pre-action \(\nabla\) of \((A, L)\) on \(A\) is the same as a vector field \(D\nabla\) on \(\mathcal{E} \times_\mathcal{M} \mathcal{F}\) which is \(\pi\)-related to \(\delta\). Moreover, \(\nabla\) is an action iff \(D\nabla\) is a homological vector field. Now, let \(\mathcal{M} = \{\ast\}\) be a point. Then \(A = \mathbb{R}\) and \(L\) is just an \(L_\infty[1]\) algebra. Moreover, \(\mathcal{E}\) is just a graded manifold and the notion of action of \((A, L)\) on \(A\) reduces to the notion of action of an \(L_\infty[1]\) algebra on a graded manifold proposed by Melha and Zambon [22].

A special situation is when \(\mathcal{F}\) is a vector bundle over \(\mathcal{M}\). In this case, \(A = S^*_A \Gamma(\mathcal{F})^*\) (up to smooth completion), and an \((A, L)\)-connection in \(\Gamma(\mathcal{F})\) determines a pre-action of \((A, L)\) on \(A\). It is easy to see that pre-actions on \(A\) of this form are characterized by the condition that the vector field \(D\nabla\) is fiber-wise linear with respect to the vector bundle projection \(\pi : \mathcal{E} \times_\mathcal{M} \mathcal{F} \longrightarrow \mathcal{E}\).

**Proposition 35.** The following data are equivalent:

1. a pre-action of \((A, L)\) on \(A\),
2. a degree 1 derivation of the algebra \(\text{Sym}_A(L, A)\) which agrees with \(D\) on \(\text{Sym}_A(L, A)\),
3. an \(A\)-module multiderivation of \(A \otimes_A L\) which agrees with \(X\) on \((A, L)\).

**Proof.** It is straightforward to check that (1) \(\iff\) (2): the derivation of \(\text{Sym}_A(L, A)\) corresponding to a pre-action \(\nabla\) is just \(D\nabla\). Now, notice that an \(A\)-module multiderivation \(\gamma = (Y, \sigma_Y)\) of \(A \otimes_A L\) is completely determined by restrictions of \(Y\) and \(\sigma_Y\) to generators, i.e., elements of \(L\). If \(\gamma\) agrees with \(X\) on \((A, L)\) then the restrictions \(\sigma_Y : L \times \cdots \times L \longrightarrow \text{Der} A\) of the symbol determine a pre-action of \((A, L)\) on \(A\). Conversely, the structure maps \(\nabla : L \times \cdots \times L \longrightarrow \text{Der} A\) of a pre-action can be extended to maps

\[
\sigma : (A \otimes L) \times \cdots \times (A \otimes L) \longrightarrow \text{Der} A
\]
by $\mathcal{A}$-linearity. Hence, $\mathcal{X}$ can be extended to an $\mathcal{A}$-module multiderivation $\mathcal{Y}$ of $\mathcal{A} \otimes_{\mathcal{A}} \mathcal{L}$ demanding that $\sigma$ is its symbol. \hfill $\square$

**Corollary 36.** The following data are equivalent

1. an action of $(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{L})$ on $\mathcal{A}$,
2. a degree 1 homological derivation of the algebra $\text{Sym}_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathcal{L}, \mathcal{A})$ which agrees with $D$ on $\text{Sym}_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathcal{L}, \mathcal{A})$,
3. an $LR_{\infty}[1]$ algebra structure on $(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{A} \otimes_{\mathcal{A}} \mathcal{L})$ which agrees with $\mathcal{X}$ on $(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{L})$.

Let $\nabla$ be an action of $(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{L})$ on $\mathcal{A}$. In view of Proposition 23, the Chevalley-Eilenberg DG module $\text{CE}(\mathcal{A})$ of $\mathcal{A}$ is actually a DG algebra. On the other hand, the Chevalley-Eilenberg DG algebra of $(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{A} \otimes_{\mathcal{A}} \mathcal{L})$ is

$$\text{CE}(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{A} \otimes_{\mathcal{A}} \mathcal{L}) = (\text{Sym}_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathcal{A} \otimes_{\mathcal{A}} \mathcal{L}, \mathcal{A}), D) \simeq (\text{Sym}_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathcal{L}, \mathcal{A}), D^\nabla) = \text{CE}(\mathcal{A}),$$

i.e., it is canonically isomorphic to the the Chevalley-Eilenberg DG module of $\mathcal{A}$. In particular, there is an obvious sequence of DG algebras (and $\mathcal{A}$-modules)

$$\text{CE}(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{L}) \rightarrow \text{CE}(\mathcal{A}) \rightarrow (\mathcal{A}, \nabla^1).$$

**Remark 37.** The $\mathcal{A}$-algebra isomorphism $\text{Sym}_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathcal{A} \otimes_{\mathcal{A}} \mathcal{L}, \mathcal{A}) \simeq \text{Sym}_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathcal{L}, \mathcal{A})$ provides an alternative proof of the equivalence of data (2) and (3) in Proposition 23 in the case when $\mathcal{L}$ is projective and finitely generated. Indeed, in this case, $\mathcal{A} \otimes_{\mathcal{A}} \mathcal{L}$ is a projective and finitely generated $\mathcal{A}$-module and a derivation of $\text{Sym}_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathcal{A} \otimes_{\mathcal{A}} \mathcal{L}, \mathcal{A})$ is equivalent to an $\mathcal{A}$-module multiderivation of $\mathcal{A} \otimes_{\mathcal{A}} \mathcal{L}$.

In view of the following example, it is natural to call transformation $LR_{\infty}[1]$ algebra the $LR_{\infty}[1]$ algebra $(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{A} \otimes_{\mathcal{A}} \mathcal{L})$ corresponding to an action of $(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{L})$ on $\mathcal{A}$ via Corollary 36.

**Example 38.** Let $\mathcal{A}$ be the graded algebra of smooth functions on a graded manifold $\mathcal{M}$ and $\mathcal{L}$ be the module of sections of a graded vector bundle $\mathcal{E}$ over $\mathcal{M}$. Moreover, let $\mathcal{A}$ be the $\mathcal{A}$-algebra of smooth functions on a graded manifold $\mathcal{F}$ which is fibered over $\mathcal{M}$, and let $\nabla$ be an action of $(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{L})$ on $\mathcal{A}$. Notice that the $\mathcal{A}$-module $\mathcal{A} \otimes_{\mathcal{A}} \mathcal{L}$ is the module of sections of the induced bundle $\xi : \mathcal{E} \times_{\mathcal{M}} \mathcal{F} \rightarrow \mathcal{F}$. It is easy to see that the vector field $D^\nabla$ is tangent to the zero section of $\xi$. This shows that $\mathcal{E} \times_{\mathcal{M}} \mathcal{F}$ has the structure of an $L_{\infty}[1]$ algebroid over $\mathcal{F}$. I call any such $L_{\infty}[1]$ algebroid a transformation $L_{\infty}[1]$ algebroid and denote it by $\mathcal{E} \times_{\mathcal{M}} \mathcal{F}$. The transformation $L_{\infty}[1]$ algebroid $\mathcal{E} \times_{\mathcal{M}} \mathcal{F}$ fits into the sequence of $Q$-manifolds (and bundles over $\mathcal{M}$)

$$(\mathcal{F}, \nabla_1) \rightarrow (\mathcal{E} \times_{\mathcal{M}} \mathcal{F}, D^\nabla) \rightarrow (\mathcal{E}, D),$$

where the first arrow is the zero section. Sequence 33 is the geometric counterpart of Sequence 31 and generalizes Sequence (7) of 27.

### 6. Right SH LR Connections

Let $(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{L})$ be an $LR_{\infty}[1]$ algebra with structure multiderivation $\mathcal{X}$, and $\mathcal{Q}$ an $\mathcal{A}$-module.

**Definition 39.** A right $(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{L})$-connection in $\mathcal{Q}$ is a degree 1, $\text{Der}^{\mathcal{R}}\mathcal{P}$-valued form $\Delta$, such that $(\mathcal{X}, \Delta) \in \mathcal{R}(\mathcal{P})$. The $\text{Der}^{\mathcal{R}}\mathcal{P}$-valued form $J(\Delta) := \Delta \circ \mathcal{X} - \frac{1}{2}[\mathcal{X}, \Delta]$ is the curvature of $\Delta$. A right $(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{L})$-connection is flat if the curvature vanishes identically. An $\mathcal{A}$-module with a flat right $(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{L})$-connection is a right $(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{L})$-module.

**Remark 40.** The curvature $J(\Delta)$ of a right $(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{L})$-connection is the second component of the commutator

$$\frac{1}{2}[(\mathcal{X}, \Delta), (\mathcal{X}, \Delta)] = (J(\mathcal{X}), J(\Delta))$$
whose first entry vanishes identically. Accordingly, the symbol of \( J(\Delta)(\xi_1, \ldots, \xi_{k-1}) \) vanishes identically, for all \( \xi_1, \ldots, \xi_{k-1} \in L \), \( k \in \mathbb{N} \), i.e., \( J(\Delta) \) takes values in \( \text{End}_A P \). Moreover, it follows from the Jacobi identity for the Lie bracket in \( R(P) \) that \( [(X, \Delta), [(X, \Delta), (X, \Delta)]] = 0 \), i.e.,

\[
[\Delta, J(\Delta)] + J(\Delta) \circ X = 0,
\]

which is a higher right Bianchi identity.

In terms of the components of \( \Delta \), the curvature is given by formulas

\[
J(\Delta)_k(\xi_1, \ldots, \xi_{k-1}|p) := \sum_{i+j=k-1} \sum_{\sigma \in \mathcal{S}_i} \alpha(\sigma, \xi) \Delta(X(\xi_{\sigma(1)}), \ldots, \xi_{\sigma(i)}), \xi_{\sigma(i+1)}, \ldots, \xi_{\sigma(i+j)}|q)
- \sum_{i+j=k-1} \sum_{\sigma \in \mathcal{S}_i} (-)^{\chi} \alpha(\sigma, \xi) \Delta(\xi_{\sigma(1)}, \ldots, \xi_{\sigma(i)}|\Delta(\xi_{\sigma(i+1)}, \ldots, \xi_{\sigma(i+j)}|q)),
\]

where \( \chi = \xi_{\sigma(1)} + \cdots + \xi_{\sigma(k-1)} \), and \( \xi = (\xi_1, \ldots, \xi_{k-1}) \in L \), \( q \in P \). Notice the minus sign in front of the second summand of the right hand side of (33), in contrast with Formula (17).

The morphism \( \eta^R \) of Proposition 11 maps \( (X, \Delta) \) to a degree 1, \( \text{Sym}_A(L, A) \)-module derivation \( D^\Delta = D^\Delta_1 + D^\Delta_2 + \cdots \) of \( S_A^*L \otimes_A Q \) with symbol \( D \). In terms of anchors and brackets, \( D^\Delta_k \) is given by the following formula

\[
D^\Delta_k(\xi_1 \cdots \xi_{k} \otimes q) := \sum_{\tau \in \mathcal{S}_{k-k}} \alpha(\tau, \xi)X(\xi_{\tau(1)}), \ldots, \xi_{\tau(k)}; \xi_{\tau(k+1)} \cdots \xi_{\tau(r)} \otimes q
- \sum_{\sigma \in \mathcal{S}_{k-k-1}} (-)^{\chi} \alpha(\sigma, \xi) \xi_{\sigma(1)} \cdots \xi_{\sigma(k)} \otimes \Delta(\xi_{\sigma(k+1)}, \ldots, \xi_{\sigma(r)}|q),
\]

where \( \chi = \xi_{\sigma(1)} + \cdots + \xi_{\sigma(k-1)} \) and \( q \in Q \). In view of Remark 12, the right \( (A, L) \)-connection \( \Delta \) is actually equivalent to \( D^\Delta \) and it is flat iff \( J^\Delta := \frac{1}{2}[D^\Delta, D^\Delta] = 0 \). Notice that the symbol of \( J^\Delta \) vanishes identically, i.e., \( J^\Delta \) is a degree 2, \( \text{Sym}_A(L, A) \)-linear endomorphism of \( S_A^*L \otimes_A Q \). In terms of the components of the curvature, \( J^\Delta \) is given by formulas

\[
J^\Delta_k(\xi_1 \cdots \xi_{k} \otimes q) := \sum_{\sigma \in \mathcal{S}_{k-k-1}} \alpha(\sigma, \xi) \xi_{\sigma(1)} \cdots \xi_{\sigma(k)} \otimes J(\Delta)(\xi_{\sigma(k+1)}, \ldots, \xi_{\sigma(r)}|q),
\]

where \( \xi = (\xi_1, \ldots, \xi_{k}) \in L \), \( |q \in Q \).

6.1. Higher Right Schouten-Nijenhuis Calculus. A right linear connection in a vector bundle, i.e., a right connection along the LR algebra of vector fields, determines a “right version” of the standard Schouten-Nijenhuis calculus. Here, I present a homotopy generalization of it.

Let \( (A, L) \) be an \( LR_{\infty}[1] \) algebra with structure multiderivation \( X \). In this section I adopt the same notation as in Section 5.1 about the \( P_{\infty} \) algebra structure on \( S_A^*L \). Consider an \( A \)-module \( Q \) with a right \( (A, L) \)-connection \( \Delta \), and the corresponding \( \text{Sym}_A(L, A) \)-module derivation \( D^\Delta = D^\Delta_1 + D^\Delta_2 + \cdots \) of \( S_A^*L \otimes_A Q \).

**Proposition 41.** The operator \( D^\Delta_k \) is a differential operator of order \( k \) in the \( S_A^*L \otimes_A Q \). More precisely,

\[
[\cdot \cdot \cdot [[D^\Delta_k, \mu_{u_1}], \mu_{u_2}], \ldots, \mu_{u_k}]] = \mu_{[u_1, \ldots, u_k]}
\]

for all \( u_1, \ldots, u_{k+1} \in S_A^*L \).

**Proof.** Suppose, preliminarily, that identity (35) is true when \( u_1, \ldots, u_k \) are either from \( A \) or from \( L \). Now, let \( u_i \in S_A^*L \), \( i = 1, \ldots, k \). Since [\( D, \mu_{u_1} = [D, \mu_{u_2}] \mu_{u_1} + (-)^{\mu_{u_1}} \mu_{u_1} [D, \mu_{u_1}] \], for all symmetric tensors \( u, v \) and any graded \( K \)-linear endomorphism \( D \) of \( Q \otimes_A S_A^*L \), the general assertion (35) follows from an easy induction on the \( \ell_i \). It remains to prove (35) when \( u_1, \ldots, u_k \) are either 0 or 1-tensors.
Put $\mathcal{M}(u_1, \ldots, u_k) := [- \cdots [-[D_k, \mu_{a_1}], \mu_{a_2}], \cdots, \mu_{a_k}]].$ Since $[\mu_u, \mu_v] = 0$ for all $u, v \in \mathbb{S}_A^L$, then, in view of the Jacobi identity for the graded commutator, $\mathcal{M}$ is graded symmetric in its arguments. Moreover, since $D_k^\Delta$ is a Sym$_A(L, A)$-module derivation subordinate to $D$, $\mathcal{M}$ vanishes whenever two arguments are from $A$. Indeed, let $a, b \in A$. Then

$$\left[[D_k^\Delta, \mu_a], \mu_b]\right] = \left[[D_k^\Delta, i_a], i_b\right] = 0.$$  

Now, let $a \in A$, and let $\xi_1, \ldots, \xi_{k-1} \in L$. Using $[\mu_\xi, i_a] = -i_\xi \omega$ for all forms $\omega$ it is easy to see that

$$\mathcal{M}(a, \xi_1, \ldots, \xi_{k-1}) = \mu_{\{a, \xi_1, \ldots, \xi_{k-1}\}}.$$  

Finally, I have to show that

$$\mathcal{M}(\xi_1, \ldots, \xi_k) = \mu_{\{\xi_1, \ldots, \xi_k\}}, \quad \xi_1, \ldots, \xi_k \in L.$$  

This follows from analogous straightforward computations as those in the proof of Proposition 26.

For $u_1, \ldots, u_{k-1} \in \mathbb{S}_A^L$, and $U \in \mathbb{S}_A^L \otimes_A Q$, put

$$R^\Delta(u_1, \ldots, u_{k-1}|U) := [- \cdots [\left[D_k^\Delta, \mu_{a_1}\right], \mu_{a_2}], \cdots, \mu_{a_{k-1}}]|U.$$  

The following theorem can be proved exactly as Theorem 27.

**Theorem 42.** The sum $R^\Delta := R^\Delta_1 + R^\Delta_2 + \cdots$ is a right $(K, \mathbb{S}_A^L)$-connection in $\mathbb{S}_A^L \otimes_A Q$ whose curvature $J(R^\Delta)$ is given by

$$J(R^\Delta)(u_1, \ldots, u_{k-1}|U) = \left[- \cdots [\left[J_k^\Delta, \mu_{a_1}\right], \mu_{a_2}], \cdots, \mu_{a_{k-1}}\right]|U.$$  

Moreover,

$$R^\Delta(u_1, \ldots, u_{k-1}|\mu_a|U) = \mu_{\{u_1, \ldots, u_{k-1}, a\}} + (-)^{\chi_a} \mu_a \left[R^\Delta_1(u_1, \ldots, u_{k-1}|U), \right]$$  

where $\chi = \bar{\mu}(\bar{u}_1 + \cdots + \bar{u}_{k-1} + 1)$, and

$$R^\Delta(u_1, u_2, \ldots, u_{k-1}|U) = (-)^{\chi'} \mu_a R^\Delta(u_1, \ldots, u_{k-1}|U) + (-)^{\chi'} R^\Delta(u, u_2, \ldots, u_{k-1}|\mu_a|U).$$  

where $\chi' = \bar{\mu}(\bar{u}_2 + \cdots + \bar{u}_{k-1})$, for all tensors $u, u_1, \ldots, u_k$, and all $U \in \mathbb{S}_A^L \otimes_A Q$.

**Corollary 43.** Let $\Delta$ be a right $(A, L)$-connection in $Q$. Then $\Delta$ is flat iff $R^\Delta$ equips $\mathbb{S}_A^L \otimes_A Q$ with the structure of a right $L^\infty[1]$ module over the $L^\infty[1]$ algebra $\mathbb{S}_A^L$.

### 6.2. Right $(A, L)$-Module Structures on $A$

Let $(A, L)$ be an ordinary Lie-Rinehart algebra. Then the exterior algebra $\Lambda^*_A L$ of $L$ is equipped with a Gerstenhaber algebra structure. In [11] Huebschmann showed that a right $(A, L)$-module structure $\Delta$ on $A$ determines the structure of a Batalin-Vilkovisky (BV) algebra on $\Lambda^*_A L$. Recall that a BV algebra is an associative, graded commutative, unital algebra $B$ equipped with a degree 1, second order differential operator $\square : B \rightarrow B$ such that $\square 1 = 0$, and $\square^2 = 0$, and the Gerstenhaber bracket determined by $\square$ via the derived bracket formula

$$[b_1, b_2] := \square(b_1 b_2), \quad b_1, b_2 \in B.$$  

In [11] Huebschmann showed that the Rinehart operator $D^\Delta$ associated to a right $(A, L)$-module structure $\Delta$ on $A$ generates the Gerstenhaber bracket $[\cdot, \cdot]$ of $\Lambda^*_A L$ in the sense that $(\Lambda^*_A L, D^\Delta, [\cdot, \cdot])$ is a BV algebra. Huebschmann’s result has a homotopy analogue. To show this, I first recall a homotopy analogue of a BV algebra. Let $\mathcal{B}$ be an associative, graded commutative, unital algebra and $\square : \mathcal{B} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$ any degree 1, $K$-linear map. Define operations in $\mathcal{B}$ via the higher derived bracket formulas [22, 39]

$$\Lambda_k(u_1, u_2, \ldots, u_k) = [- \cdots [\square(u_1), u_2], \cdots, u_k], \quad k \in \mathbb{N}.$$  

The $\Lambda_k$ are graded symmetric. In [2] it was proved for the first time that, when $\square 1 = 0$ and $\square^2 = 0$, the $\Lambda_k$ equips $\mathcal{B}$ with the structure of an $L^\infty[1]$ algebra. Actually, in view of the Jacobi identity for the graded commutator, the $\Lambda_k$’s are multiderivations. So, if one puts $\Lambda = \Lambda_1 + \Lambda_2 + \cdots$, then $(\mathcal{B}, \Lambda)$
is a $P_\infty$ algebra. If $\square$ is, in particular, a differential operator of order 2, then $\Lambda_3 = \Lambda_4 = \cdots = 0$, and $(\mathcal{B}, \square, \Lambda_2)$ is a BV algebra. In the general case, Kravchenko gives in [22] the following

**Definition 44.** A $BV_\infty$ algebra is an associative, graded commutative, unital algebra $\mathcal{B}$ equipped with a degree 1, $K$-linear operator $\square : \mathcal{B} \to \mathcal{B}$ such that $\square 1 = 0$ and $\square^2 = 0$, and the $P_\infty$ algebra structure $\Lambda = \Lambda_1 + \Lambda_2 + \cdots$ given by [19].

A flat right $(A, L)$-connection $\Delta$ in $A$ determines a $BV_\infty$ algebra structure on $S^\bullet_A L$ as follows. Applying identity (36) to the element $1 \in S^\bullet_A L$, one gets

$$\{u_1, \ldots, u_k\} = [\cdots [[D^\Delta_1, u_1], u_2]\cdots, u_k]1.$$

**Proposition 45.** The triple $(S^\bullet_A L, D^\Delta, \Lambda)$ is a $BV_\infty$ algebra.

**Proof.** It is enough to prove that

$$[\cdots [[D^\Delta_1, u_1], u_2]\cdots, u_k]1 = [\cdots [[D^\Delta_1, \mu_{u_1}], \mu_{u_2}]\cdots, \mu_{u_k}]1,$$

for all $k$. Since $D^\Delta_j$ is a differential operator of order $j$ (Proposition 26), $[\cdots [[D^\Delta_1, \mu_{u_1}], \mu_{u_2}]\cdots, \mu_{u_k}] = 0$ for $j < k$. A direct check shows that, in addition, $[\cdots [[D^\Delta_1, \mu_{u_1}], \mu_{u_2}]\cdots, \mu_{u_k}]1 = 0$ for $j > k$. \quad \square

7. Derivative Representations up to Homotopy

As recalled in Section 5.3 a Lie algebra may act on a manifold. More generally, a Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$ may act on a vector bundle $E \to M$ by infinitesimal vector bundle automorphisms (not necessarily base-preserving). Following Kosmann-Schwarzbach [19], I call such an action a derivative representation of $\mathfrak{g}$. A derivative representation of $\mathfrak{g}$ determines an action of $\mathfrak{g}$ on $M$. Kosmann-Schwarzbach and Mackenzie showed that derivative representations of $\mathfrak{g}$ inducing the same action on the base are equivalent to representations of the transformation Lie algebroid $\mathfrak{g} \times M$ [19]. They also define derivative representations of Lie algebroids and prove an analogous result in this general context. In this section, I show how to generalize the notion of derivative representation of a Lie algebroid [19] to the general context of SH LR algebras and prove a general version of the Kosmann-Schwarzbach and Mackenzie theorem. Moreover, I define right derivative representations and extend the result to them.

Let $(A, L)$ be an $LR_\infty[1]$ algebra, and $\mathcal{A}$ be an associative, graded commutative, unital $A$-algebra with a pre-action $\nabla$ of $(A, L)$. Moreover, let $\mathcal{P}$ be a graded, left $\mathcal{A}$-module.

**Definition 46.** A left derivative pre-representation of $(A, L)$ on $\mathcal{P}$ subordinate to $\nabla$ is a left $(A, L)$-connection $\nabla^P$ in $\mathcal{P}$ such that, for all $\xi_1, \ldots, \xi_{k-1} \in L$, and $k \in \mathbb{N}$, the pair

$$(\nabla^P(\xi_1, \ldots, \xi_{k-1}), \nabla(\xi_1, \ldots, \xi_{k-1}))$$

is an $\mathcal{A}$-module derivation of $\mathcal{P}$, i.e.,

$$\nabla^P(\xi_1, \ldots, \xi_{k-1})|fp) = (-)^{\chi} f \nabla^P(\xi_1, \ldots, \xi_{k-1}|p) + \nabla(\xi_1, \ldots, \xi_{k-1}|f)p,$$

where $\chi = (\xi_1 + \cdots + \xi_{k-1} + 1)f$, for all $f \in \mathcal{A}$, and $p \in \mathcal{P}$. If $\nabla$ is an action, then $\nabla^P$ is a left derivative representation if it is flat.

With a straightforward computation, one can check the following

**Proposition 47.** Let $\nabla^P$ be a left $(A, L)$-connection in $\mathcal{P}$. Then $\nabla^P$ is a left derivative pre-representation subordinate to $\nabla$ iff $(D\nabla^P, D\nabla)$ is a left $\text{Sym}_A(L, \mathcal{A})$-module derivation of $\text{Sym}_A(L, \mathcal{P})$.

The following proposition generalizes the main result of [19].

**Proposition 48.** Let $\nabla$ be an action. Left derivative pre-representations of $(A, L)$ on $\mathcal{P}$ subordinate to $\nabla$ are equivalent to left $(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{A} \otimes_A L)$-connections in $\mathcal{P}$. 


Proof. Let \( \mathcal{P} \) possess a left derivative pre-representation of \( (A,L) \) subordinate to \( \nabla \). Extending the structure maps by \( \mathcal{A} \)-linearity, one gets a left \( (\mathcal{A}, A \otimes_A L) \)-connection. Conversely, let \( \mathcal{P} \) possess a left \( (\mathcal{A}, A \otimes_A L) \)-connection. Restricting the structure maps
\[
(A \otimes_A L) \times \cdots \times (A \otimes_A L) \times \mathcal{P} \longrightarrow \mathcal{P}
\]
to \( L \times \cdots \times L \times \mathcal{P} \) one gets a left derivative pre-representation.

Let \( \nabla \) be an action and \( \nabla^p \) be a left derivative representation of \( (A,L) \). Then \( \mathcal{P} \) possesses both a flat left \( (A,L) \)-connection \( \nabla^p \) and a flat left \( (\mathcal{A}, A \otimes_A L) \)-connection \( \nabla^p \). Accordingly, in view of Proposition \ref{proposition_left_derivative_pre_representation_connection} two (a-priori different) Chevalley-Eilenberg DG modules can be associated to it. Namely, \( \text{Sym}_A(A \otimes_A L, \mathcal{P}), D\nabla^p \) and \( \text{Sym}_A(A \otimes_A L, \mathcal{P}), D\nabla^p \). It is easy to see that the two do actually identify under the canonical isomorphism
\[
\text{Sym}_A(A \otimes_A L, \mathcal{P}) \simeq \text{Sym}_A(L, \mathcal{P}).
\]

Now, let \( \mathcal{Q} \) be another graded \( \mathcal{A} \)-module.

**Definition 49.** A right derivative pre-representation of \( (A,L) \) on \( \mathcal{Q} \) subordinate to \( \nabla \) is a right \( (A,L) \)-connection \( \Delta^Q \) in \( \mathcal{Q} \) such that, for all \( \xi_1, \ldots, \xi_{k-1} \in L \), and \( k \in \mathbb{N} \), the pair
\[
(\Delta^Q(\xi_1, \ldots, \xi_{k-1}), -\nabla(\xi_1, \ldots, \xi_{k-1}))
\]
is an \( \mathcal{A} \)-module derivation of \( \mathcal{Q} \), i.e.,
\[
\Delta^Q(\xi_1, \ldots, \xi_{k-1}|fp) = (-)^kf\Delta^p(\xi_1, \ldots, \xi_{k-1}|p) - \nabla(\xi_1, \ldots, \xi_{k-1}|f)p,
\]
for all \( f \in \mathcal{A} \), and \( q \in \mathcal{Q} \). If \( \nabla \) is an action, then \( \Delta^Q \) is a right derivative representation if it is flat.

With a straightforward computation, one can check the following

**Proposition 50.** Let \( \Delta^Q \) be a right \( (A,L) \)-connection in \( \mathcal{Q} \). Then \( \Delta^Q \) is a right derivative pre-representation subordinate to \( \nabla \) iff \( (D\Delta^Q, D\nabla) \) is a \( \text{Sym}_A(L, \mathcal{A}) \)-module derivation of \( \mathcal{Q} \otimes_A S_A^*L \).

**Proposition 51.** Let \( \nabla \) be an action. Right derivative pre-representations of \( (A,L) \) on \( \mathcal{Q} \) subordinate to \( \nabla \) are equivalent to right \( (\mathcal{A}, A \otimes_A L) \)-connections in \( \mathcal{Q} \).

**Proof.** Let \( \mathcal{Q} \) possess a right derivative pre-representation of \( (A,L) \) subordinate to \( \nabla \). Extending the structure maps by \( \mathcal{A} \)-linearity, one gets a right \( (\mathcal{A}, A \otimes_A L) \)-connection. Conversely, let \( \mathcal{Q} \) possess a right \( (\mathcal{A}, A \otimes_A L) \)-connection. Restricting the structure maps
\[
(A \otimes_A L) \times \cdots \times (A \otimes_A L) \times \mathcal{Q} \longrightarrow \mathcal{Q}
\]
to \( L \times \cdots \times L \times \mathcal{Q} \) one gets a right derivative pre-representation.

Finally, let \( \nabla \) be an action and \( \Delta^Q \) be a right derivative representation of \( (A,L) \). Then \( \mathcal{Q} \) possesses both a flat right \( (A,L) \)-connection \( \Delta^Q \) and a flat right \( (\mathcal{A}, A \otimes_A L) \)-connection \( \Delta^Q \). Accordingly, in view of Proposition \ref{proposition_right_derivative_pre_representation_connection} two (a-priori different) DG modules can be associated to it along the lines of Section \ref{section_right_derivative_pre_representation}. Namely, \( (S_A^*L \otimes_A \mathcal{Q}, D\Delta^Q) \) and \( (S_A^*(A \otimes_A L) \otimes_A \mathcal{Q}, D\Delta^Q) \). It is easy to see that the two do actually identify under the canonical isomorphism
\[
S_A^*(A \otimes_A L) \otimes_A \mathcal{Q} \simeq S_A^*L \otimes_A \mathcal{Q}.
\]

**Acknowledgments.** I thank Jim Stasheff, for carefully reading all preliminary versions of this paper.
Appendix A. Graded Symmetric Forms and Tensors

Let $A$ be a graded, associative, graded commutative, unital algebra over a field $K$ of zero characteristic, and $L$ a graded $A$-module.

Consider the space $\text{Sym}_A^k(L, A)$ of $A$-multilinear, graded symmetric maps $L^k \to A$. Elements in $\text{Sym}_A^k(L, A)$ will be called symmetric $k$-forms (on $L$), or simply $k$-forms. The direct sum $\text{Sym}_A(L, A) := \bigoplus_{k \geq 0} \text{Sym}_A^k(L, A)$ is a bi-graded algebra, and an associative, graded commutative, unital algebra with product given by

$$\omega \omega' = \sum_{\sigma \in S_{k,k'}} a(\sigma, \xi)(-\lambda)^{\omega(\xi(1), \ldots, \xi(\lambda))} \omega'(\xi(k+1), \ldots, \xi(k+k')) \quad (40)$$

where $\lambda = \sum_{i=1}^{k+k'} \xi_i$.

Consider also the $k$-th symmetric power $S_k^A L$ of $L$. Elements in $S_k^A L$ will be called symmetric $k$-tensors (on $L$), or simply $k$-tensors. The symmetric algebra $S^A_0 L = \bigoplus_{k \geq 0} S_k^A L$ is a bi-graded algebra, and an associative, graded commutative, unital algebra with product given by the graded symmetric (tensor) product.

Let $P$ be another $A$-module. Consider the space $\text{Sym}_A^k(L, P)$ of graded, graded $A$-multilinear, graded symmetric, maps $L^k \to P$. Elements in $\text{Sym}_A^k(L, P)$ will be called symmetric $P$-valued $k$-forms, or simply $P$-valued $k$-forms. The direct sum $\text{Sym}_A(L, P) := \bigoplus_{k \geq 0} \text{Sym}_A^k(L, P)$ is a bi-graded $A$-module, and a left $\text{Sym}_A(L, A)$-module with structure map written $(\omega, \Omega) \mapsto \mu_\omega \Omega$, and given by the same formula as $(40)$. The space $\text{Sym}_A(L, P)$ is also a $S_A^P$-module with structure map written $(u, \Omega) \mapsto u \Omega$, and given by

$$(i_u \Omega)(\xi_1, \ldots, \xi_{k-1}) := (-)^{|\Omega|} \Omega(\xi_1, \ldots, \xi_k, \xi_1, \ldots, \xi_{k-1}), \quad (41)$$

where $\Omega$ is an $A$-valued $\Omega$-form, $\xi_1, \ldots, \xi_k \in L$, and $\Omega$ an $A$-valued $k$-form.

Now, let $Q$ be a third $A$-module. The tensor product $Q \otimes_A S_A^P L$ is a $\text{Sym}_A(L, A)$-module with structure map written $(\omega, U) \mapsto i_u U$ given by

$$i_u U := \sum_{\sigma \in S_{k-1,k}} a(\sigma; \xi)(-\lambda)^{\omega(\xi(1), \ldots, \xi(\lambda))} \omega(\xi(1), \ldots, \xi(\lambda))$$

where $\lambda = \sum_{i=1}^{k+k'} \xi_i$.

$\chi' = \omega(\xi_{\sigma(1)}, \ldots, \xi_{\sigma(\lambda)})$, $\omega$ a $k$-form, $\chi'$ a $k'$-form, and $\xi_1, \ldots, \xi_{k+k'} \in L$.

Consider the space $\text{Sym}_A(L, Q)$ of $A$-multilinear, graded symmetric, maps $L^k \to Q$. Elements in $\text{Sym}_A^k(L, Q)$ will be called symmetric $Q$-valued $k$-forms, or simply $Q$-valued $k$-forms. The direct sum $\text{Sym}_A(L, Q) := \bigoplus_{k \geq 0} \text{Sym}_A^k(L, Q)$ is a bi-graded algebra, and an associative, graded commutative, unital algebra with product given by the graded symmetric (tensor) product.

Remark 52. Let $u$ be a $k$-tensor, and let $\omega$ be a $k$-form. If we understand $\text{Sym}_A(L, P)$ as a module over $\text{Sym}_A(L, A)$ (resp., $S_A^P L$), then $i_u$ (resp., $\mu_\omega$) is a differential operator of order $k$. Similarly, if we understand $Q \otimes_A S_A^P L$ as a module over $\text{Sym}_A(L, A)$ (resp., $S_A^P L$), then $\mu_u$ (resp., $i_\omega$) is a differential operator of order $k$.

Now, let $A$ be an associative, graded commutative, unital $A$-algebra, and let $P$ and $Q$ be $A$-modules. In particular, they are $A$-modules. Clearly, $S_A^P L \otimes_A A$ is an $\text{Sym}_A(L, A)$-algebra. Moreover, Formula $(40)$ defines an $\text{Sym}_A(L, A)$-algebra structure on $\text{Sym}_A(L, A)$. A similar formula defines a $\text{Sym}_A(L, A)$-module structure on $\text{Sym}_A(L, P)$. Finally, Formula $(42)$ defines a $\text{Sym}_A(L, A)$-module structure on $S_A^P L \otimes_A Q$.

Appendix B. Operations with Right Connections

Right $(A, L)$-connections can be operated with left $(A, L)$-connections as follows. Let $(P, \nabla)$, and $(P', \nabla')$ be $A$-modules with left $(A, L)$-connections, and $(Q, \Delta)$, and $(Q', \Delta')$ be right $A$-modules with right $(A, L)$-connections. It is easy to see that formulas

$$\Delta^\otimes(\xi_1, \ldots, \xi_{k-1}|q \otimes q') := -\Delta(\xi_1, \ldots, \xi_{k-1}|q) \otimes q' - (-)^{\lambda+q} q \otimes \Delta'(\xi_1, \ldots, \xi_{k-1}|q'),$$

where $\lambda = \sum_{i=1}^{k+k'} \xi_i$.
where \( \chi = (\xi_1 + \cdots + \xi_{k-1})q \), define a left \((A, L)\)-connection \( \Delta^{\otimes} \) in \( Q \otimes_A Q' \) (beware, left not right).

A straightforward computation shows that the curvature of \( \Delta^{\otimes} \) is given by formulas

\[
J(\Delta^{\otimes})(\xi_1, \ldots, \xi_{k-1}|q \otimes q') = -J(\Delta)(\xi_1, \ldots, \xi_{k-1}|q) \otimes q' - (-)^{\chi} q \otimes J(\Delta')(\xi_1, \ldots, \xi_{k-1}|q').
\]

In particular, if \( \Delta \) and \( \Delta' \) are flat, then \( \Delta^{\otimes} \) is flat as well.

Similarly, formulas

\[
\Delta^{\text{Hom}}(\xi_1, \ldots, \xi_{k-1}|\varphi)(q) := (-)^{\chi^{'}} \varphi(\Delta(\xi_1, \ldots, \xi_{k-1}|q)),
\]

where \( \chi' = (\xi_1 + \cdots + \xi_{k-1})\tilde{\varphi} \), define a left \((A, L)\)-connection \( \Delta^{\text{Hom}} \) in \( \text{Hom}_A(Q, Q') \). A straightforward computation shows that the curvature of \( \Delta^{\text{Hom}} \) is given by formulas

\[
J(\Delta^{\text{Hom}})(\xi_1, \ldots, \xi_{k-1}|\varphi)(q) := (-)^{\chi} \varphi(\Delta(\xi_1, \ldots, \xi_{k-1}|q)) - J(\Delta')(\xi_1, \ldots, \xi_{k-1}|\varphi(q)).
\]

In particular, if \( \Delta \) and \( \Delta' \) are flat, then \( \Delta^{\text{Hom}} \) is flat as well.

Finally, formulas

\[
\diamond(\xi_1, \ldots, \xi_{k-1}|p \otimes q) := (-)^{\psi} p \otimes \Delta(\xi_1, \ldots, \xi_{k-1}|q) - \nabla(\xi_1, \ldots, \xi_{k-1}|p) \otimes q,
\]

\[
\diamond'(\xi_1, \ldots, \xi_{k-1}|\varphi)(p) := (-)^{\psi} \varphi(\nabla(\xi_1, \ldots, \xi_{k-1}|p)) + \Delta(\xi_1, \ldots, \xi_{k-1}|\varphi(p)),
\]

and

\[
\diamond''(\xi_1, \ldots, \xi_{k-1}|\varphi)(q) := -\nabla(\xi_1, \ldots, \xi_{k-1}|\varphi(q)) - (-)^{\psi} \varphi(\Delta(\xi_1, \ldots, \xi_{k-1}|q)),
\]

where \( \psi = (\xi_1 + \cdots + \xi_{k-1})\tilde{\varphi} \), and \( \psi' = (\xi_1 + \cdots + \xi_{k-1})\tilde{\varphi} \), define right \((A, L)\)-connections \( \diamond, \diamond' \), and \( \diamond'' \) in \( P \otimes_A Q, \text{Hom}_A(P, Q) \), and \( \text{Hom}_A(Q, P) \), respectively. The respective curvatures are given by formulas

\[
J(\diamond)(\xi_1, \ldots, \xi_{k-1}|p \otimes q) := (-)^{\psi} p \otimes J(\Delta)(\xi_1, \ldots, \xi_{k-1}|q) - J(\nabla)(\xi_1, \ldots, \xi_{k-1}|p) \otimes q,
\]

\[
J(\diamond')(\xi_1, \ldots, \xi_{k-1}|\varphi)(p) := (-)^{\psi} \varphi(\nabla(\xi_1, \ldots, \xi_{k-1}|p)) + J(\Delta)(\xi_1, \ldots, \xi_{k-1}|\varphi(p)),
\]

and

\[
J(\diamond'')(\xi_1, \ldots, \xi_{k-1}|\varphi)(q) := -J(\nabla)(\xi_1, \ldots, \xi_{k-1}|\varphi(q)) - (-)^{\psi} \varphi(\Delta(\xi_1, \ldots, \xi_{k-1}|q))
\]

The straightforward details are left to the reader.

**Remark 53.** Let \( Q \) be an \( A \)-module with a right \((A, L)\)-connection \( \Delta \). There is an induced left \((A, L)\)-connection \( \Delta^{\text{End}} \) in \( \text{End}_A Q \). In its turn, \( \Delta^{\text{End}} \) determines a derivation \( D^{\text{End}} \) of \( \text{End}_A Q \)-valued forms over \( L \). On the other hand, in view of Remark 47, the curvature \( J(\Delta) \) of \( \Delta \) is an \( \text{End}_A Q \)-valued form (with infinitely many components with a definite number of entries) and

\[
D^{\text{End}}J(\Delta) = \Delta^{\text{End}} \circ J(\Delta) - J(\Delta) \circ X = -[\Delta, J(\Delta)] - J(\nabla) \circ X = 0,
\]

where I used the right Bianchi identity 75.

**APPENDIX C. TABLES OF CORRESPONDENCES**

The constructions described in this paper generalize standard constructions on Lie algebroids in two direction: on one side in the direction of abstract algebra (Lie-Rinehart algebras, etc.), on another side in the direction of higher homotopy theory (\( L_{\infty} \)-algebras, etc.). To make it manifest the correspondence between standard notions and notions in this paper, I record below three tables of correspondences. I hope this will help the reader in orienteering in the zoo of structures discussed in this paper. Notions with the same label (a Roman number I, II, III, . . . ) do correspond each other. I added a bibliographic reference to less standard notions, and I left an empty space where a notion is empty or, to my knowledge, has not been discussed in literature. The second column of Table 1 contains the general notions discussed in this paper.
### Table 1. Lie Theory

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Standard</th>
<th>Higher Homotopy</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>I</td>
<td>Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>II</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>III</td>
<td>left representations</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IV</td>
<td>right representations</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V</td>
<td>Chevalley-Eilenberg differential</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VI</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VII</td>
<td>linear Poisson structure on $\mathfrak{g}^*$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VIII</td>
<td>actions on manifolds</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IX</td>
<td>transformation Lie algebroid</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>X</td>
<td>linear actions on vector bundles [18], [19]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>XI</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Table 2. Geometry: Lie Algebroids

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Standard</th>
<th>Higher Homotopy</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>I</td>
<td>Lie algebroid $E$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>II</td>
<td>$E$-connections [7], [40]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>III</td>
<td>left representations</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IV</td>
<td>right representations [29], [25]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V</td>
<td>homological vector field on $E[1]$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VI</td>
<td>Spencer operator [29], [21]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VII</td>
<td>fiber-wise linear Poisson structure on $E^*$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VIII</td>
<td>actions on fibered manifolds</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IX</td>
<td>transformation Lie algebroid [10]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>X</td>
<td>linear actions on vector bundles [19]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>XI</td>
<td>right representations and BV structures [40]</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Table 3. Algebra: Lie-Rinehart Algebras

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Standard</th>
<th>Higher Homotopy</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>I</td>
<td>Lie-Rinehart algebra $(A, \mathcal{L})$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>II</td>
<td>$(A, \mathcal{L})$-connections (Defs. [21], [39])</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>III</td>
<td>left modules</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IV</td>
<td>right modules</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V</td>
<td>Chevalley-Eilenberg algebra</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VI</td>
<td>Rinehart differential [30] [11], [12]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VII</td>
<td>Gerstenhaber structure on $A_\mathcal{L}$ [11]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VIII</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IX</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>X</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>XI</td>
<td>right modules and BV structures [11]</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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